

REGULAR MEETING
July 16, 2001

CALL TO ORDER:

A regular meeting of the Beaverton City Council was called to order by Mayor Rob Drake at the Beaverton City Library, 12375 SW Fifth, Beaverton, Oregon, on Monday, July 16, 2001, at 6:42 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present were Mayor Drake, Couns. Fred Ruby, Evelyn Brzezinski, Dennis Doyle, Forrest Soth, and Cathy Stanton. Also present were Chief of Staff Linda Adlard, City Attorney Mark Pilliod, Human Resources Director Sandra Miller, Finance Director Patrick O'Claire, Community Development Director Joe Grillo, Engineering Director Tom Ramisch, Operations/Maintenance Director Stave Baker, Library Director Ed House, Project Engineer Jim Brink, City Transportation Engineer Randy Wooley, Captain Wes Ervin, Redevelopment Project Manager John Engel and City Recorder Darleen Cogburn.

CITIZEN COMMUNICATION:

There was none.

COUNCIL ITEMS:

Coun. Soth reported that the City of Portland was looking at the water supply for the future. He related they were determining if there was enough interest to continue looking for a solution. He reviewed the various supplies available and noted that the City of Beaverton had a connection to the City of Portland. He stated that the City of Beaverton's main supply was Hagg Lake and Barney Reservoir and noted there were not enough transmission facilities in the region. He stated there was no interest in having one over-riding water distribution system. He noted that TVFD and the City of Beaverton would be the two most affected entities on the west side of the region.

Coun. Stanton reminded everyone that this Saturday would be the Beaverton Festival Parade.

Coun. Brzezinski related that on Wednesday, July 17, 2001, a Walking Town Meeting would begin at the City Park. She stated the walks were May through September and that a different neighborhood association was selected for each walk. She added that the walks were usually one mile to one and a half miles long and were very enjoyable.

Coun. Ruby announced that they were looking for a new home for the New Friends of the Beaverton City Library Bookstore. He reported they had purchased a house on 5th Street and noted that there were some issues about bringing the building up to code. He said he would report back to Council on the progress.

STAFF ITEMS:

There was none.

PRESENTATIONS:

Beaverton Education Foundation: "Hooked on Beaverton Schools"
Salmon Public Art Project

Janet Hogue talked about a book that she received from her daughters titled Grandfathers' Blessings. She commented the Beaverton Education Foundation believed in community partnership with the schools and teachers and provided environmental opportunities to fuel the passion and success in children. She noted the Beaverton Education Foundation awarded grants. She communicated their vision was to foster a united Beaverton that looked to the future. She related they had celebrated the 40th year of the Beaverton School District. She announced there would be an auction in the Fall and the proceeds generated by the auction would benefit the Beaverton Education Foundation. She told a story about one of the artists in residence and his experience with one of the students who was involved in the project and how it had positively impacted his life at school.

Mayor Drake thanked her for the presentation.

Hogue mentioned the salmon on display was created by Highland Park Middle School.

Coun. Doyle asked how citizens could find out the details.

Hogue related the auction was October 13, 2001, and the details were available through the Beaverton Education Foundation.

Coun. Brzezinski suggested a map be provided to indicate the distance between displays for those who may ride a bike to view the different locations.

01239 Library Annual Report

Ed House, Director of Library, said that the New Library was a positive change for Beaverton. He enumerated the many uses of the Library and areas around it, such as the fountain for the children and the Farmers Market. He felt the citizens realized their investment was paying off. He noted the statistics and reported circulation was up 23%, the door count was up 35%, the reference questions were up 20% and the homework center questions were up 244% from last year. He described the Homework Center as a unique place where young adults could go and get help from tutors, some of whom were volunteers. He related the Library on Wheels made 776 visits to places such as day cares, head start and other type of school facilities. He reported the number of volunteer hours was over 6,000 and the hours for June were up 39%.

House reported there were 2,562 children coming in for the summer reading program, which was a large increase for the year. He related the library camp this summer, which teaches students how to use the library facilities, was already filled. He commented there were seven story times per year, and said they would have to look at increasing the times or reducing the numbers. He added there were computer classes, a homework center and on Friday, game night. He related they were doing all sorts of programs for the community. He noted they had a full house for all of the cultural programs. He summarized they would go through a long range planning process and they would like the Library Board and others in the community to participate in that process. He added they would be looking at how they could provide more with the limited funds.

Coun. Brzezinski asked what ages participated in the Library Camp.

House replied Kindergarten through 5th grade and 6th grade through 8th grade.

Coun. Brzezinski asked if all spaces were filled.

House replied that they were.

Coun. Brzezinski asked if most of the cultural events had been in the auditorium.

House replied some had been in the auditorium and others had been outside.

Coun. Doyle thanked him for the report and understood why the citizens supported the Library. He asked if people should contact him or the Library to obtain information on the activities.

House stated they could contact him directly or the circulation desk.

Coun. Stanton noted 503-526-2421 was the circulation desk.

Coun. Soth asked if they had the audio books in stock.

House related they had a number of books on tape and they needed to build on their collection.

Coun. Soth remarked this was the fifth Library building that Beaverton has had since he was a resident of Beaverton. He asked if in the long-range planning, would they incorporate some idea of when it might be necessary to complete the expansion of the library, which was part of the design.

House replied that was hard to predict and they would be looking at a period of three to five years and a building budget that would meet those goals.

Mayor Drake complimented House and his staff on their hard work with the move from the old library to the new facility. He related the staff had responded nicely to the volume increase. He reported the citizens had invested \$22 million and unfortunately a small handful had vandalized the restrooms and that was why they chose to keep the bathrooms locked. He added they didn't wish to be demeaning and they wanted to have it remain safe and clean and most people understood the need for the security.

Coun. Brzezinski commented it was an impressive list in the increase of service and the variety of activities that were offered at the Library. She also thanked Shirley George, the previous Library Director, for the work that she had coordinated with the move to the new library.

RECESS

Mayor Drake called for a brief recess at 7:19 p.m.

RECONVENED:

The meeting reconvened at 7: 28 p.m.

CONSENT AGENDA:

Coun. Stanton MOVED, SECONDED by Coun. Doyle that the consent agenda be approved as follows:

Minutes of the regular meetings of March 5, and March 19, 2001

01240 Liquor License: Change of Ownership – Thailand Restaurant

01241 Traffic Control Board Issue 461

01242 Remand Areas 2 Through 7 of CPA 99-00025 Comprehensive Plan Land Use Element

01243 Contract Renewal for Intergovernmental Agreement Between the Portland Development Commission (PDC) and the City of Beaverton for the Management of the Citywide Housing Rehabilitation Program

Contract Review Board:

01244 Contract Change Order Extension of Solid Waste Rate Analysis and Transfer Resolution

01245 Consultant Contract Award – Land Surveying to Evaluate Easement Encroachment of the Joint Water Transmission Line

01246 Bid Award – Drilling of ASR (Aquifer Storage and Recovery) No. 3 Well and Two Groundwater Monitoring Wells for the Cobb Quarry

Question called on the motion. Couns. Brzezinski, Soth, Ruby, Stanton and Doyle voting AYE, Motion CARRIED unanimously (5:0)

PUBLIC HEARING:

01247 Appeal Hearing on Traffic Control Board Issue 462 Regarding a Traffic Signal at SW Hart Road and 160th Avenue

Mayor Drake read the introduction and said the code called for these hearings to be on the record. He related the record appeared extensive and asked if the Council wanted it read in its entirety.

Coun. Soth said as far as he was concerned on the record would be fine.

Coun. Stanton said she would acquiesce to the desire of the others.

Mayor Drake communicated this was by consensus.

Mayor Drake explained the format for the night.

Tom Ramisch, Engineering Director, said he would give some introductory comments, and then Jim Brink and Randy Wooley would talk about signal warrants and the engineering aspects of the signals.

Ramisch reported this was a City project and the County had been an employee, by an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) to be the designers of the project. He explained the public element had been a public information committee and listed the groups that had been invited to participate. He related the groups were West Beaverton NAC, Sexton Mountain NAC, Highland NAC and the Washington County CPO 6. He said Highland NAC had dropped out and Washington County CPO 6 did

not send a representative, so the bulk of the discussion was by Sexton Mountain and West Beaverton. He added the City of Beaverton has a very well defined process in the City to evaluate signals.

Coun. Stanton asked if it was a Major Street Transportation Improvement Plan (MSTIP) project.

Ramisch replied that it was funded by the County, by the MSTIP program, but it was a City project.

Coun. Stanton stated the County did not fund a signal at 160th Avenue and Hart Road, which was the issue.

Jim Brink, Project Engineer, explained the project limits extend from 165th Avenue in the west to Murray Blvd. in the east, and they had a small piece of 155th Avenue. He reported the major features of the project and gave a description of the project. He stated at the intersection of 160th Avenue and Hart Road there was a median and then reviewed the way the street was designed through the project. He noted the project included the street lighting and landscaping. He added it was a full street improvement that was bike and pedestrian friendly with two bridge/stream crossings.

Coun. Stanton referred to Brinks' drawing of the completed project. She asked if there would be any signs to warn drivers that pedestrians may be present.

Brink related there would be such signage and all seven of those crossings would be signed. He explained which crossings were painted and which were not and their locations.

Coun. Soth referred to page one of the Agenda Bills and read from it (in the record).

Brink explained the locations and purpose of the medians. He related the project was being built to 2015 projections and the future estimates indicate that two lanes with the center turn lane would provide the level of service needed.

Coun. Soth requested clarification when Brink mentioned the middle lane could be used as a stacking lane. He asked when that had become part of the State Code.

Brink noted a center lane improved the level of service and traffic flow. He revealed they struggled with a center turn lane, but then it did not solve the problem of how to get pedestrians across the street. He added the only way they could have a pedestrian refuge was to have a median.

Coun. Soth asked if state law had been changed.

Brink related there was nothing to preclude waiting in the lane, you could just not drive down it.

Coun. Stanton noted she heard Brink make a statement that they needed the protected lanes so the pedestrians could cross the street.

Brinks explained it made the crossing safer for the pedestrians.

Coun. Stanton added they would not need them if they were protected intersections.

Mayor Drake commented the city amenities were different than those of the County. He noted a median was placed on Canyon Road because it was a scary place to cross and it made it easier and safer and it also beautified the City.

Brink affirmed it was approximately 11 feet wide and indicated the center turn lane was 12 feet.

Mayor Drake asked if the Council ruled that the warrants had not been met, but if they were later, then would the median stay.

Brink affirmed it would stay, but the refuge would be in question. He related if the Council decided there would be a signal, then the crossing location would move back to the intersection.

Mayor Drake asked about moving the island west.

Brink answered it would be not be safe. He stated there were sight distance and queuing distance that needed to be met.

Coun. Brzezinski noted the median would not be there if it was signalized.

Brink answered that was correct.

Randy Wooley, Transportation Engineer, reported the issue came before the Traffic Commission last month and the issue was if there should be a signal at 160th Avenue and Hart Road. He commented that everyone agreed that Hart Road was not safe for bicyclists right now and the project should improve that. He noted they could add the signal in the future. He said the past month Traffic Commission heard extensive testimony and it seemed to be split evenly between those who did and did not favor the signal. He reviewed the arguments for and against which were in the record. He added there was concern that a signal would have traffic stacked up and it was indicated that there were other signals that were of higher priority.

Wooley noted that he reviewed the detailed signal analysis that was in the record. He reported the counts did include pedestrians and bicycles at the

peak hours. He added the dates of the study were Saturday, June 30, 2001, and Tuesday, July 3, 2001.

Wooley read from the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and noted that it said that signals should not be installed if the warrants were not met, and if it did not meet any of the warrants it should not be considered. He clarified the initial discussion was pedestrian traffic trying to cross 160th Avenue in the morning.

Wooley related the new version of the manual would be adopted soon by the State of Oregon and said the new book rearranged the signal warrants and numbers, but the numbers stayed the same. He added the warrants were the same as the one adopted in 1988. He mentioned it had been suggested that they met the warrant on one street but not the other and if they went with that interpretation it would say that they needed signals at all intersections. He added there were several things in the project that would improve traffic on Hart Road. He summarized Traffic Commission recommendations were that the signal not be installed at this time and gave the recommendations in the record. He expressed the Traffic Commission said it should be added to the list so it would be regularly reviewed.

Coun. Stanton asked if there was any discussion of pedestrian access at that intersection.

Wooley answered they had discussed a four way intersection signal, and a pedestrian signal.

Coun. Brzezinski said she had seen it.

Mayor Drake noted that the City had problems with pedestrian access only and they had removed them from several intersections.

Wooley specified Traffic Commission had recommended that if they had a signal it would be a full signal intersection.

Coun. Soth compared the rural and urban figures and counts. He wanted to know if they were using the rural minimums because of the area it serves.

Wooley replied it was according to the miles per hour.

Coun. Soth discussed with Wooley warrants 6,7 and 11 (in record).

Coun. Brzezinski asked about intersections that had been suggested for traffic signals and if they had a list of ones that met warrants.

Wooley said some did meet warrants and some others had recently become cause for concern.

Coun. Ruby noted from page 58 the cost of the signalization. He added there were 50 intersections listed which should be signalized when the warrants had been met.

Coun. Brzezinski asked if any of the intersections that were currently on the list where warrants had been met, did any of them just have one warrant met, or did all of them have more than one warrant met.

Wooley replied that he was not sure, he would have to research the answer.

Terry Moore, Tualatin Hill Park and Recreation District (THPRD), and Sarah Cleek introduced themselves. Moore related they had a detailed map. She explained they were there to ask the City to look at what was needed for the next twenty years. She expressed they felt there should be a signal there and read from her letter of testimony as a member of the THPRD Board. She noted there was an opportunity to solve the problem now for future funding may be hard to obtain. She commented that her heart was in her throat when she watched kids cross the road. She added as soon as the sidewalk was in and Lowami Woods was completed there would be more activity.

Coun. Stanton asked if the letter was from the Board or herself.

Moore replied the letter was from the Board.

Mayor Drake asked when they felt the Powerline Trail would be completed.

Moore responded they had a funding plan but the levy was defeated.

Mayor Drake clarified that the next influx of money would be in the 2002 election.

Coun. Ruby shared the Mayor's vision of the future of the Powerline project. He stated the dangers of crossing four lanes of traffic to get across Hall Blvd. He said the proposed Hart Road improvement was not the same width as Hall Blvd.

Coun. Soth and Moore discussed the location and safety of the trail.

Coun. Soth noted if people obeyed the rules they would cross at the intersection and then use the sidewalk.

Mayor Drake noted that the appeal was on the signal, not on the trail design.

Coun. Doyle noted the timelines in the report.

Opposed to Appeal:

Drew Baumchen wanted the Council to consider the issue on an objective basis, and not on visions of children being run down. He reiterated his position at Traffic Commission and said they hired professionals that had assessed the situation. He felt THPRD had lobbied because they wanted a pedestrian crossing and the pedestrian crossing was not warranted at this intersection. He added he hoped they would listen to the professionals and the unanimous decision of the Traffic Commission.

Gerry Lukos said she lived on the south side of Hart Road and she had not heard how the installation of a light at 160th Avenue would affect the people on the south side of Hart Road. She stated with the offset in the trail there would be a safe place to cross. She added they would get a light sometime in the future and she did not think it was needed at this time.

Gary Bliss said he opposed the signal light at Hart Road and 160th Avenue and thought they had to maintain the warrants for installing signals. He stated he found there were other warrants, which deserved priority. He noted he would rather have the \$200,000 used elsewhere perhaps for the under-grounding of the utilities.

Support of Appeal.

Robert Mixon reviewed his letter in the record. He concluded that he supported the signalized crossing and thanked them for their consideration.

Hal Ballard reported as chair of the Bike Task Force (B.I.K.E.) he was in support of signalization and it was his responsibility to support it. He noted he was a member of the THPRD bike committee. He related he hosted rides on the THPRD trails, and noted that he looked forward to completion of the trails. He noted pedestrian and bike use would increase even if a signal was not installed. He cited the intention of the signal was to ensure safe passage and noted that children played along that street. He said there were other ways to go, if motorists didn't want the signal. He asked the Council to overturn the Traffic Commission decision.

Coun. Soth asked if Ballard disputed the Traffic Engineer counts.

Ballard replied he did not dispute them, but pointed out that the study was two years old.

Coun. Ruby felt there was an increase of use along 5th Street since the bike lanes were installed.

Ike McCarley, stated he represented West Beaverton NAC, and submitted a copy of a questionnaire. He asserted the Traffic Commission was not in favor of the signal, but listed the variety of groups that were in favor of it. He referred to the questionnaire and noted that #5 showed that 77% gave it the highest priority. He said people said they wandered through the neighborhood streets to get out instead of going via Hart Road because of

safety issues. He stated the study by DKS Associates said there was not enough traffic to warrant the signal, but he said the counts were made after the neighbors had stopped going through the intersection. He reported they had testimony from Dick Schouten and referred to that letter (in the record). He added the West Beaverton NAC believed that safety was paramount and noted there was a collision with a boy walking his bike. He asked that the Council overturn the decision of the Traffic Commission.

Coun. Brzezinski noted that in the packet the questionnaire was included and noted that on page 106 there was another questionnaire and asked when that study was completed.

McCarley answered he did both of them and when he was on the committee he went out and checked with the neighbors who used the intersection, then brought it back to the NAC, at the next meeting, and also gave the questionnaire to them. He added the NAC wanted to present it to the Project Advisory Committee.

Coun. Brzezinski asked if the 50 homes were in the perimeter.

McCarley replied they were all in the same area, but noted that not everyone participated.

Coun. Soth asked if he surveyed the people on both sides of Hart Road.

McCarley said he wanted to cover the people who would be affected by the light and did not survey anyone on the south side of Hart Road.

Coun. Soth wondered if his test of June 7th, (and read from it in the record) was a different analysis than the one by DKS Associates.

McCarley related he thought it was the same study.

Tim Greiner, West Beaverton NAC, announced his son was the one who was hit by a car almost a year ago. He cited he had seen the aftermath of some issues caused by people slowing down and trying to turn.

Mayor Drake asked if his son was okay.

Greiner answered he was okay, but had some physical scars.

Mayor Drake asked how old he was when he got hit.

Greiner replied he was twelve.

Coun. Stanton asked him if he thought there was any chance of a Local Improvement District (LID) for people to pay for it themselves.

Greiner communicated he was not sure.

Robert Bothman, reported he was in favor of the traffic signal, and said he was a professional engineer and retired from ODOT. He related he had been involved in many similar projects over the years. He expressed the key thing was to get the signal in when they could and stated that the warrants had been met. He emphasized meeting one warrant met the federal code. He added the best location was 160th Avenue and it would control both the cars and the pedestrians. He related that if you were out on the median, it would be a hazardous situation to look in all directions for cars. He stated the Code specified all the places that had to be protected. He said the count had gone from 2 to 20 and he thought there had been a substantial increase in usage of the trail. He related he was bothered by the projection of the use of the street for 10 to 15 years and the trails were based on a 20-year plan.

Coun. Soth suggested a trail usage study be conducted twice a year, once in the summer and once in the winter.

Bothman noted the conflicts with pedestrians, bikes and skateboarders. He commented he had ten grandkids that used the Fanno Creek Trail

Coun. Doyle asked how soon they would be developing a method to count the trails users, and asked if that methodology had been developed.

Bothman replied there was nothing at this time. He agreed that they needed to get a basic count of what was happening before and after school. He added the use would continue to grow.

Coun. Doyle noted there were about 50 other intersections in the City that would warrant signals. He related that methodology would help them sort through these things.

Bothman explained they were talking two different issues, and said the MSTIP funds could be used on Hart Road for a signal and the others were not on the MSTIP and could not be used for the other intersections. He added that made them two different issues.

Priscilla Christenson, the Chair of the Hart Road Project Advisory Committee (PAC), read her testimony (in the record).

Mayor Drake thanked her and noted that Washington County controlled the dollars and asked if they had approached the County Commission directly other than through Dick Schouten. He noted that the City tried to be citizen driven by what they do. He said he felt the reason the engineers made their recommendation was that they knew potentially the signals would be out there. He said on the short horizon there was no funding to cover the fifteen and they wanted to treat everyone fairly. He added the dollars would be coming from the County and they did not feel the warrants had been met and they had not recommended the signal.

Christenson noted they had not gone to the County. She reported they were looking at this as good for the community, not just the neighborhood.

Coun. Stanton asked if she had a copy of Schouten's submittal for the meeting.

Christensen said she did not.

Coun. Stanton read from the introduction, in the record.

Coun. Soth said he understood that for a pedestrian signal it was \$3,500 and for a full signal it was \$100,000 on up.

Christensen replied the difference first given to them was less than that. She said those were the figures they had looked at. She said the figures they had worked with at the time were not substantially different.

Coun. Brzezinski asked what happened between November when the committee voted against the light and January when they voted to support the light.

Christenson stated in November the vote was five to three with eight members voting and to move a motion forward they needed a majority vote. She noted in January they voted to put it back on the agenda in February and in January they would have public input available for the February meeting.

Coun. Brzezinski asked if they got anything from the Open House except the list of positive and negative comments. She added she received the results and wondered if they had sheets where people could write comments.

Christenson said there was a place to write comments and there was a copy of the pros and cons posted at the Open House.

Coun. Brzezinski noted that on the matrix, not many people voted on it one way or the other. She wondered if there was group discussion.

Christenson stated that the numbers were an accurate representation, and people talked about it, but there were few written comments.

REBUTTAL:

There was none by staff.

Mayor Drake closed the Public Hearing.

Coun. Brzezinski commented she felt this was a policy decision more than financial decision and her understanding was the County had not said formally that they would pay. She mentioned some who had testified

implied that the County would pay for it and wondered if that was based on Schouten's comments.

Mayor Drake stated he had a discussion with the Director of Land Use and Transportation and they looked at the warrants and felt they had not been met. He communicated the County had many more needs than the City. He noted that every day they had more requests than they could grant and fund. He added the County said they couldn't fund this when it did not meet the warrants as long as they had others that did.

Coun. Stanton mentioned this was a MSTIP project and there were constraints on it. She affirmed the money was available and they could tap into it. She commented it did not mean that the County didn't have funds available.

Coun. Soth commented on the other hand, the County said because of the lack of meeting warrants, the MSTIP funds had to be used in the way it had been for the MSTIP projects.

Coun. Stanton noted the Land Use and Transportation Director was not the County Commissioner.

Mayor Drake expressed the decision was that staff did not feel that it met the warrants and the action from the Traffic Commission was appealed.

Coun. Brzezinski MOVED; SECONDED by Coun. Soth that they approve the Traffic Commission recommendation on Traffic Control Board 462 and deny the appeal.

Coun. Brzezinski commented it was a policy issue rather than a financial issue and that they would consider it if the Council said it made good policy sense. She said that was an issue of consistency and she did not know why they would change their rules because of the intersection location. She concluded she did not think the intersection should be bumped to the top of the list.

Coun. Soth disclosed he echoed what Coun. Brzezinski had said and he couldn't get over the failure to meet the warrants. He noted they had professional traffic engineers. He explained when the project was completed they could look at it again and analyze it, as well as a count of the bicycles and pedestrians that were going through there. He added he lived on a street that was used as a bypass for other streets and the warrants for the intersection near him had not been met and it was on the list of 50.

Coun. Stanton announced she would not support the motion, and felt it did make good policy sense. She noted they should be more proactive than reactive and felt there would be more traffic, bicycles and pedestrians on Hart Road. She also noted that she wanted utilities under ground.

Question called on the motion. Couns. Brzezinski, Soth, Doyle, Ruby voting AYE; Coun. Stanton voting NAY; the motion CARRIED (4:1)

ORDINANCES:

Suspend the Rules:

Coun. Soth MOVED; SECONDED by Coun. Stanton that the rules be suspended, and that the ordinances embodied in AB 01248, AB 01249, and AB 01250 be read for the first time by title only at this meeting and for the second time by title only at the next regular meeting.

Couns. Brzezinski, Soth, Doyle, Stanton and Ruby voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously (5:0)

First Reading:

- 01248 An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 1800, the Comprehensive Plan Map and Ordinance No. 2050, the Zoning Map, for Property at 1275 SW 158th Avenue; CPA 2001-0008 and RZ 2001-0008
- 01249 An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 1800, the Comprehensive Plan Map and Ordinance No. 2050, the Zoning Map, for Property at 13675 NW Cornell Road; CPA 2000-0006 and RZ 2000-0008
- 01250 An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 1800, the Comprehensive Plan Map and Ordinance No. 2050, the Zoning Map, for Property Commonly Known as Peterkort Village; CPA 2001-0004 and RZ 2001-0004

Second Reading and Passage:

- 01237 An Ordinance Expressing the City of Beaverton's Election to Receive Distribution of a Share of Certain Revenues of the State of Oregon for Fiscal Year 2001-2002, Pursuant to ORS 221.760

Coun. Soth MOVED, SECONDED by Coun. Brzezinski that the Ordinance embodied in AB 01237 now pass. Roll call vote. Couns. Brzezinski, Soth, Ruby, Doyle and Stanton voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously (5:0)

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Council at this time, the meeting was adjourned at 10:15 p.m.

Sue Nelson, City Recorder

APPROVAL:

Approved this 5th day of November, 2001

Rob Drake