

REGULAR MEETING
February 26, 2001

CALL TO ORDER:

A regular meeting of the Beaverton City Council was called to order by Mayor Rob Drake in the Forrest C. Soth Council Chambers, 4755 SW Griffith Drive, Beaverton, Oregon, on Monday, February 26, 2001, at 6:42 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present were Mayor Drake, Counc. Fred Ruby, Evelyn Brzezinski, Dennis Doyle, Forrest Soth, and Cathy Stanton. Also present were Chief of Staff Linda Adlard, City Attorney Mark Pilliod, Human Resources Director Sandra Miller, Finance Director Patrick O'Claire, Community Development Director Joe Grillo, Engineering Director Tom Ramisch, Operations/Maintenance Director Steve Baker, Police Chief David Bishop, Library Director Ed House, Senior Planner John Osterberg, Building Official Brad Roast, Project Engineer Jim Brink, Project Engineer Joel Howie, Deputy City Recorder Sue Nelson and City Recorder Darleen Cogburn.

PUBLIC HEARING:

01063 APP 2000-0015 Willow Creek Pedestrian Bridge; Appeal of Design Review Denial

Mayor Drake noted that the Council meeting that evening would be out of agenda order and the public hearing would be taken at this time.

Joe Grillo, Community Development Director, read the formal process as required (in record). He reviewed the criteria and the order of the presentation (in record).

Grillo asked if there were any challenges to any Councilor's or the Mayor's right to hear this matter.

There were none.

Grillo asked if any Councilor wished to abstain due to lack of impartiality.

There were none.

Grillo asked if any Councilor had received any ex parte contacts.

Coun. Stanton commented that she walked the site, but had no contact.

Couns. Doyle and Soth both noted they had visited the site.

Coun. Brzezinski said she used to live in the Waterhouse subdivision and was very familiar with the area, but did not go look at it prior to the public hearing.

Mayor Drake said he had walked the area three times since he had spoken with Mr. Bergeson as well as one other citizen in the area.

Grillo asked if anyone in the audience wished to challenge the City Council's authority to hear the matter.

There were no challenges.

Grillo explained that Council had all of the materials and staff did not need to repeat it. He noted that there were several letters, which had been received by the City Recorder's office, as well as other materials that had been included in the record.

Grillo pointed out that the materials that were on the easel would be introduced and presented by the Engineering Staff as part of their presentation.

Mayor Drake said he had a copy of the Triple Creek/Five Oaks Neighborhood Association Committee (NAC) minutes that would be included in the record. He distributed them to Council and the City Recorder.

Coun. Brzezinski asked if the Engineering staff would present information during the meeting that evening since she had specific questions to ask.

Grillo replied that was correct.

Tom Ramisch, Engineering Department Director and Joel Howie, Project Engineer, for the Willow Creek Bridge project introduced themselves.

Ramisch said they planned to give a brief overview of what had occurred to this point. He said Howie would review the materials on the easels.

Mayor Drake said the Police Chief was there to answer any questions Council might have regarding security or law enforcement issues related to the area.

Howie said he would give a brief history and a review of the project, and noted that the report from Ramisch (in the record) told much of the issue. He reported that a few years ago an Eighth Grade science teacher at Five Oaks Middle School had his class install plantings on the banks of Willow Creek to limit erosion. He noted the teacher thought it would be a neat idea to have a bridge to view the new plantings along with the ecology of the area. He said in October 1999, the Beaverton School District (School District) and Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD) came forward and asked for the City's help in building a bridge. He noted that in June 2000, he presented the project to the Five Oaks/Triple Creek NAC and received favorable comments from them. He noted that thereafter he submitted the land use application.

Ramisch noted that in the course of moving the project forward, Mark Borquist, a resident of the neighborhood and a school volunteer (who approached the City on behalf of the schools) who had considerable skills as a civil engineer, had contributed some of the early ideas that were developed for the configuration of the bridge.

Howie reviewed the drawings displayed and pointed out the various locations/landmarks on the drawings. He also reviewed drawings of the proposed bridge (in record). He said the proposed bridge was 24-feet long, and six feet in width. He pointed out the two-year storm event elevation and said that putting the bridge in the one hundred-year storm event would require flood plain mitigation for a ten-year, fifty-year, and one hundred-year event. He explained the flood plains on the drawings. He pointed out that the whole reason for the proposed bridge was that kids were building makeshift crossings, and a new bridge would get the kids on a bridge and out of danger when crossing Willow Creek.

Howie explained that the reason the proposed alignment was selected was to match the existing beaten path and the existing asphalt path. He said due to pedestrians crossing that area the natural resources were degraded and putting the bridge in a more easterly location would only degrade that area as well. He stated that the bridge alignment was critical because at this particular location it was closest to the opposite side of the Creek bank, which would result in the shortest span required for the bridge. He noted that the whole boardwalk was in the 100-year flood plain. He explained, for those people who would like to see the bridge moved upstream, that would not result in moving it out of the 100-year storm event. He pointed out that to do as little mitigation as possible, it would make the span about 105 feet which would increase the construction cost by \$60,000 to \$70,000. He said pedestrians would still have to move along the path, which would make the impacts the same, plus there would still have to be flood plain mitigation. He clarified that the proposed location would be the shortest span and flood plain mitigation would be the best at that location. He pointed out that they had suggested installing polyurethane covering on the bridge deck to minimize the sound from bike and skateboard wheels.

Mayor Drake noted that the polyurethane would cover the entire surface and would eliminate much of the sound.

Howie explained that the polyurethane was a very durable product that had also been installed at the Metro Zoo.

Coun. Soth asked what the difference was in the elevation on the south and north sides of the Creek.

Mayor Drake commented that he thought it would be a horizontal bridge.

Coun. Soth said he thought that one side was much higher and wondered the same about the location up stream.

Howie said the proposed elevation was 176 feet and the elevation at the site upstream was 182 feet. He explained that the elevation of the bridge would be the same on both sides.

Mayor Drake said it appeared that there was a natural incline on the north side of where the bridge would touch.

Howie said that area would have a new wood chip path and agreed that it was considerably at a higher elevation.

Mayor Drake explained that there was an incline on the north side and asked if material would be excavated out of that area.

Howie verified that the area would be excavated.

Mayor Drake asked if there would still be a natural incline.

Howie explained that the only project was the bridge and the School District would have to come in and connect a path to match in.

Mayor Drake asked if they could condition the School District to make it a chip path rather than asphalt path. He explained that a chip path would limit the use of roller blades and scooters.

Mark Pilliod, City Attorney, noted that the School District was not an applicant and any conditions that would be imposed would be the City's conditions to effectuate through an appropriate agreement with the School District.

Mayor Drake clarified that the City could condition itself and Council could instruct the City to negotiate such an agreement with the School District.

Pilliod agreed that was correct.

Coun. Soth referred to the upstream area, and said he sited across to what seemed to be a sewer manhole on the north bank, which was considerably higher than where he was standing on the south boardwalk.

Howie said he had not seen a manhole, but it was a considerable incline in that area.

Coun. Soth addressed the incline issue and said it looked like it would have to have an incline on the bridge to match it up.

Howie explained that if you jumped the Creek now, there was a cut in the fence and a meandering path that had an incline.

Coun. Soth referred to the mitigation area and asked if the mitigation would be for conveyance of storm water or storage of floodwater.

Howie said it was for conveyance of water during a 100-year storm event. He explained that if the bridge was installed and not mitigated, the water surface elevations behind the bridge would increase. He explained that would be against Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) rules.

Coun. Soth noted that there were references in the agenda bill material about noise on the boardwalk, and wondered if that was because of the spaces in the current tread.

Howie said that was probably the problem, and it was part of the design.

Coun. Brzezinski commented that there were relatively few letters from neighbors in the packet and the ones she saw were from those on Waybridge Way to the west. She said she did not see any letters from the neighbors to the south of the boardwalk in the Stonegate area. She noted that she understood why they took the addresses out of minutes, but it made it difficult to see where people were from (in relation to a project). She noted there were no negative comments that she could see that were from neighbors south of the existing boardwalk.

Howie reported that there were none that he had heard.

Coun. Doyle asked David Bishop, Police Chief, if there were any indication of calls to service in that area.

Bishop said they conducted an extensive study of calls for service in that area and noted that the grids they looked at were west of 173rd. He said those two grids accounted for 176 calls and the grids across 173rd had accounted for 317 calls. He explained that there were some notes about noise and other problems, but they could not substantiate that based on the central dispatch system. He said there was also an incident of a shooting at Five Oaks School, but it had nothing to do with the School directly since it involved two people who had chosen to meet and exchange narcotics. He explained that it had been a drug rip-off and one

of the parties had been shot and the other taken into custody two days later. He said for the most part the area was a low-call area compared to the rest of the City.

Mayor Drake asked when the numbers were logged.

Bishop said they were logged since August 2000, in a six months study.

Coun. Stanton asked if there were 176 calls related to the bridge.

Bishop replied that the calls were from the grids adjoining the park area.

Coun. Stanton asked if the calls were about obnoxious or bad behavior or vandalism in the pedestrian bridge area.

Bishop said most were from the other side of the area and were mostly calls on traffic issues or suspicious incidents.

Coun. Brzezinski said some of the information she read was about firecrackers in July, before the six-month log was initiated. She referred to a letter dated August 5, 2000 from Susan Stewart and Lynn Altomare (in record), which related that during the summer the incidents were worse. She asked what residents could do about the incidents.

Bishop said the Police needed to be made aware of the problem at the time of the problem and that would happen from a call to the dispatch center. He stated that the Police would respond to such a call and take action. He noted officers had attended the NAC meetings and said he talked to those officers before the Council meeting that evening. He reported that those comments (regarding an increase of incidents in the summer) were not shared or discussed at the NAC meetings when the officers were present.

Supporters of the Appeal:

Mark Borquist, Beaverton, said his family lived in the Waterhouse area just south of Willow Creek. He related that kids tried to ford Willow Creek, utilizing planks or whatever was available even during times of dangerously high water flow. He said he was a licensed engineer and had volunteered to try to get a bridge built over Willow Creek. He said in his opinion the bridge would be best located in the area currently under consideration. He explained the bridge would connect easily with the trail from Five Oaks Middle School and was at a point where the bridge foundations could be easily accessed for construction. He said the proposed location was superior to any others for economic reasons, but most importantly the bridge would cover up the most popular crossing spot. He pointed out that the bridge would reside primarily on property owned by THPRD and he had obtained their conditional approval of the project and willingness to maintain the structure if it was built. He reported that the School District had also offered their support of the project, but

both THPRD and the School District made it clear that they did not have sufficient funds to construct the bridge.

Borquist reported that he submitted plans to the Oregon National Guard to have it built and early in 2000 they gave the project preliminary approval. He said the National Guard was willing to use their soldiers, equipment, and materials to build the bridge and underwrite the majority of the construction costs as a training exercise for public service. He reported that he had worked with the City in the permit process. He said the City had agreed to aid in the permitting process and since then the project had progressed to the point where it was gathering public input. He said he was not able to attend the Board of Design Review (BDR) hearing on April 14, 2000, and was disappointed by its conclusions.

Borquist said the initial design of the bridge had varied from his concept, but the basic objectives of the project had not changed. He reiterated that those objectives were to provide a safer alternate crossing for children and other users; provide a platform for Five Oak's school instruction on wildlife habitat, etc. and reduce damage to the natural foliage in the area.

Borquist talked about the bridge being able to prevent debris from blocking the two culverts under 173rd thereby helping to prevent flooding in nearby home sites during a flood event. He described the bridge as becoming a *trash rack*. He mentioned that the bridge would probably need repairs after an extremely high water flow to clean the bridge and bring it back into proper alignment. He said this kind of maintenance was routine however, and not extraordinary.

Borquist noted that it was important to realize that the kids would still want to cross Willow Creek whether the bridge existed or not. He said the very presence of the bridge and appropriate signing would make kids more aware of the dangers and would provide a much better way for them to cross the Creek. He said the benefits of the project strongly outweighed the deterrent. He thanked Mayor Drake, representatives of the Beaverton School District and THPRD for their help, as well as the Council for their attention.

Coun. Soth said he thought Borquist had said "realignment of the bridge during a flood". He asked if that meant the bridge could become dislodged from the piers or was it a natural thing to happen.

Borquist clarified that if a large piece of debris hit a handrail, then it would need to be realigned. He noted that he thought there might be material in that watershed large enough to dislodge the main structure from its abutments. He said a more realistic scenario would be smaller debris gathering on the handrail supports and maybe bending those a bit.

Coun. Stanton referred to Borquist's phrase about a *trash rack* and said she had never heard the phrase before.

Borquist replied that *trash rack* was a hydroelectric term.

Coun. Brzezinski thanked Borquist for taking the time and sticking with it. She said it struck her as “no good deed goes unpunished.” She said it was also very creative of him to get the cooperation of the different agencies.

Bob Woodell, Beaverton, said he was a Five Oaks Middle School parent and local school board member and also lived in the community of Merewood. He commented that the community needed the bridge, since parents and kids were already using that area to cross the stream and it was dangerous, and harmful to the environment. He noted that the stream bank was now being torn up and sooner or later someone would get hurt. He suggested that a bridge would encourage students to walk to school and use the school grounds, and society was trying to encourage citizens to use the public facilities and to walk.

Woodell said he thought the current proposal was the best location, and the most affordable. He noted that the option of locating the bridge further east did not seem affordable. He wondered what would happen if the School District sealed off the area to try and prevent kids from crossing there. He said kids were already crossing to the east and finding other ways through the wetlands. He said that building the bridge created an option that would eliminate the need to find another route over Willow Creek and it was an opportunity to have four public agencies work together especially with the specific help of the National Guard. He said he had been told that the National Guard got several hundred requests each year and only chose to do a few which they believed were in the best public interest and did the most public good. He pointed out that the Guard had accepted the project and there was a narrow window of opportunity to use the Guard and their engineering capability (and Pentagon money paying the Guard to do the training). He concluded by saying he believed the safety and nuisance issues had been addressed and resolved, and the bridge would be a wonderful addition to the community.

Coun. Soth said in the material they had indicated that it was 620 feet to go around to Five Oaks School, and he wondered what Woodell’s reaction was to that.

Woodell said “kids would be kids” and they would take the 24-foot across Willow Creek instead of taking the long way around to Five Oaks. He noted that adults went across there in the summer all the time to go to athletic events on the school grounds. He suggested it would be better to make it easy for people to cross the stream where the environment could be controlled and there was much less possibility of someone getting hurt.

Bob Browning, Beaverton, said he was there on the annexation issue later in the meeting.

John Hooson, Beaverton, said he lived in Stonegate and his property bordered Willow Creek Park. He mentioned he was an environmental professional landscape architect and was testifying that evening for the Friends of Rock, Bronson and Willow Creeks. He read a letter he had written (in the record). He noted that the area was unique for the size and the wildlife in the area and he asked for approval of the appeal.

Coun. Soth referred to the noise impact on the wildlife and asked if the surfacing materials on the bridge would help mitigate the impact.

Hooson said he did not hear the noise at night, and most of the noise occurred during the daytime. He stated that the material should mitigate some of that, but did not think the noise was seriously impacting the wildlife.

Coun. Brzezinski said the surface material was only proposed for the bridge, not for the whole boardwalk, which was owned by THPRD.

Mayor Drake agreed and said he understood that the boardwalk could be noisy. He noted that staff recommended the noise absorbing material only for the bridge surface.

Pilliod asked Hooson to provide a copy of his letter to the City Recorder.

Peter Coffey, Beaverton, said he lived in the Stonegate neighborhood and had children walking to the school, and noted that he was a Civil Engineer (licensed in the State of Oregon) and a Transportation Engineer. He commented that this project had numerous positive issues and focused on connectivity of transportation, as well as improved safety. He said he and his daughter had crossed in that area. He reported that pedestrians crossed the Creek bed in multiple locations and created significant damage and he did not see how the boardwalk being under water would have a significant impact. He said the appropriate mitigation had been done for the noise levels and using the appropriate noise deadening material was very positive. He advised that there was support from the Stonegate Homeowners Association, and he strongly urged Council to support construction of the bridge.

Mayor Drake said he and Coun. Soth viewed the site prior to school starting the previous week and they saw several groups of children crossing Willow Creek. He said the children were very nicely dressed and he noted that two children had fallen into the Creek in their attempt to cross it. He asked about Coffey's experience with kids crossing the Creek.

Coffey replied that his daughter had slipped crossing the Creek and had gotten her foot wet and had to wear wet shoes and socks at school all day. He noted that his daughter had related several instances of her

friends falling into the Creek. He said at times crossing the Creek was treacherous.

Michele Kangas, Beaverton, said she was a resident of Stonegate and the parent of a child that would go to middle school next year. She said she knew of a child that fell into the Creek and was wet all day at school. She said she thought the bridge was an excellent alternative to crossing the Creek on a slippery log or plank.

Coun. Soth asked how far she was from the bridge.

Kangas said her home was quite far from the proposed bridge and not impacted by any noise.

Kimberly Overhage, Beaverton, said she had sent a letter for Council consideration (in record). She said she was a resident of Stonegate and walked the path regularly and was in support of the bridge. She stated that she preferred the lower cost bridge, and reported that the largest number of footprints in the mud were in the currently proposed location. She said if her child had no activities she would ride the school bus but on activity days she would walk or ride her bike to the school. She commented that the presence of the bridge would allow her daughter (and other children) to avoid the 40-mile per hour traffic on 173rd. She said she had watched usage of the path grow over the last 15 years and there were many walkers and runners. She pointed out that there were many families who walked there on summer evenings. She thanked Council for keeping the issue alive.

Coun. Soth said she had mentioned her daughter riding her bike. He noted that chip or gravel paths were more difficult for bike riders to traverse.

Overhage said her daughter would walk her bike along the path. She pointed out that there was a sign at the beginning of the path that instructed bike riders walking their bikes along the path.

Pat Russell, Beaverton, said he lived near the Fred Meyer shopping center and was pleased to see the Stonegate neighbors attend the meeting that evening. He commented that it was unfortunate that the issue had no participation at the NAC level, and there had been plenty of opportunity for participation over the last 18 months. He stated that he was there with mixed emotions and did support a solution to the problem. He noted that in 1999 the Mayor sponsored a Neighborhood Walk and it had good attendance. He commented that the Mayor's willingness to take on the neighborhood problem needed to be recognized and thanked Mayor Drake for his possible solutions. He commented that unfortunately there were budget constraints with limited dollars particularly when other areas of the City were spending great sums of money to cross other creeks.

Russell said he was interested in indicating the NAC process was not working. He noted they had two NAC meetings and no one attended the meetings from the Stonegate or Weybridge neighborhoods, but said the positive note was the NAC was still alive. He commented that from a transportation-planning element, the issue was that the large neighborhood had no connectivity. He pointed out that the neighborhood borders were from Cornell Road to Walker Road then from 158th to 173rd. He said the 158th to 173rd leg was almost ¼ mile and there was no cross circulation. He said it was probably impossible to get new connecting streets at this point, but good connectivity could be obtained with bike and pedestrian paths. He remarked that his primary concern was about connectivity issues, but he was also concerned about environmental impacts. He said the bridge would serve an immediate need, and hoped the Council would not think it would take care of things until 2020. He said their neighborhood needed a lot of infrastructure and Council needed to be aware of those issues. He said the north side of the bank had an elevation of 188 feet and the south side's elevation was 176 feet, which was approximately a 10% slope that would need to be addressed. He said the School District boundary did not start clear down at the Creek, so the City Engineering staff needed to address elevation issues.

JoAnne Eden, Beaverton, handed copies of a letter to Council (in record), and said her property backed up to Willow Creek. She said her letter was more neutral and noted that she had been there five and one-half years and the week she moved there was when she heard that a bridge was proposed. She said it went far beyond the Five Oaks Middle School teacher's interest. She read from her letter.

Coun. Stanton asked Eden if she was speaking as a private citizen instead of NAC chair.

Eden said that was correct.

Coun. Soth asked how the trail was accessed.

Eden said pedestrians went to 173rd and accessed the trail at the entrance there. She said traffic was increasing and it was very dangerous.

There was discussion on if there was a fence in the area.

Coun. Stanton described a location where she saw a chain link fence.

Eden said the chain link fence was not on the easement. She described the location of the easements.

Mayor Drake asked if there was anyone else who wished to speak in support of the appeal.

Mayor Drake noted that he had received a voicemail from Don McCallum, a longtime activist in the NAC that indicated that he was out of the Country, but was in support of the bridge.

RECESS:

Mayor Drake called for a brief recess at 8:05 p.m.

RECONVENED:

Mayor Drake reconvened the meeting at 8:15 p.m.

Opponents of the Appeal:

Susan Stewart, Beaverton, introduced herself and her husband Lynn Altomare. She noted that they had lived in the Weybridge area for 17 years and their house was directly behind the proposed bridge. She commented that they were opposed for personal and public safety reasons, which included noise factors. She explained that during the summer kids came across the Creek and while they expected activity during the day, at night it was very noisy with loud talking and firecrackers. She explained that they were worried about fire hazard, because the school did not cut their grass in the summer. She said they had called the police and she was sorry that they did not have a record of those calls. She reported that she talked to Beaverton Police Officer Mark Hyde and to the helicopter policeman and the police said they could not do anything about it since the area was so secluded by the time the Police arrived the situation was usually over. She stated that skateboarders were a problem, so she was pleased to hear about the surface cover on the proposed bridge. She commented that despite her personal concern she was concerned about young children going across the bridge. She noted that she looked out at the Creek every day and now the Creek was low, but during a normal winter the Creek was wide and very fast. She noted that she had seen Willow Creek flood over the asphalt path completely and that was where the proposed bridge would be built. She pointed out that the bridge was under the one hundred-year floodplain and would be submerged under high flow events. She said she felt like a little water on the bridge would not keep a young boy from walking on it and it was a very dangerous Creek and a bridge would be an attractive nuisance. She said if kids crossed in the summer it was fine, but in the winter it would be a problem.

Lynn Altomare, Beaverton, entered photographs into the record. He noted that flooding and noise were issues. He said the City should save it's money when it came to the noise abatement material on the bridge, unless they were going to put the cover on the whole path.

Altomare said the bridge would be in a poor location, noting that it would impact the people in the Weybridge area the most. He said he was the

one who kept dragging pieces of wood out of the Creek and had been picking up the litter, and referred to himself as “the shepard of the Creek.” He commented that he had not heard anything about respect of the neighbor’s property and he did not agree with the idea that kids would take the shortest route so a bridge was necessary. He noted that it was a serious problem and he was having trouble with some of the issues that were raised. He remarked that vandalism would increase in this very secluded area that was currently proposed and he suggested that the bridge should be built further east even though the cost would increase. He presented photographs (in record) and described the area in the photographs as showing no trees. He said it seemed like it was an economic issue as opposed to making the right choice. He said more thought needed to be put into the issue and if cost was such a serious consideration, they should abandon the project or if the residents of Stonegate wanted the bridge so much, they should raise the money to build it.

Stewart said she thought the residents of Stonegate would prefer to have the bridge in the ten-year flood plain as opposed to the two-year flood plain. She commented that the ten-year flood plain would pose less of a threat of flooding and danger to children.

Altomare referred the photographs (in record) and said if they closed the fence, then they would eliminate people coming across the Creek in the first place. He said when the kids came through the opening in the fence they went into his and his neighbor’s backyards, and described a lack of access out onto Weybridge. He pointed out several pieces of litter in the photographs and said there was a lack of respect by both kids and adults. He commented that skateboarding was a real noise issue, bikes and baby carriages were less noisy, but there was a lot of pedestrian traffic even at midnight. He reported that he was closest to the location and they could not keep from hearing it.

Stewart said she and Altomare had discussed late night noise issues with other residents of the town homes in the area.

Altomare noted that the area was very secluded, which made it difficult for access by the police and this intensified the safety and security issues. He said they heard the various noises and would not call the police because they could not get there in time. He said the problem was that they were fairly secure without the bridge, but with the bridge it would be an easy passage. He noted that they were looking at the issues of safety and security for Weybridge residents as well as the children. He stated that he felt the only thing he heard was this was the cheapest way and the National Guard did not have the ability to build a 100-foot bridge. He said they should spend the money and make the best bridge possible for the Stonegate and Merewood residents. He said he walked on 173rd and kids could too. He said he would continue to be a good steward to keep the creek clean of wood and other debris. He said the City was missing the bigger picture, which was safety and security for everybody. He

summarized that this bridge in its current proposal needed to be reanalyzed and it needed to be further east, so more people could utilize it. He said it was going to cost more money and perhaps the residents of Stonegate could raise the money.

Stewart said the residents of Weybridge would be affected the most. She commented that there seemed to be a lot of tradeoffs made, but the main reason for the bridge was to save kids from walking 1100 feet and for erosion issues.

Coun. Soth asked if there was a fence between Stewart and Altomare's property and the Creek.

Stewart said no there was no fence.

Altomare submitted a photo and noted where their property was located.

Coun. Soth asked if there were any fences.

Altomare said there was one fence to the east.

Coun. Soth asked about the properties adjacent to the school grounds.

Stewart said there was chain link fence that belonged to the School District.

Coun. Soth asked if the fence ran to the Creek.

Stewart said the fence ran from the school all the way down to the Creek.

Altomare showed a photograph (in record) that showed how far the chain link fence extended.

Coun. Soth asked if what they were saying was that people went around the fence.

Stewart said that they went through a hole in the fence and more people would use the hole if the bridge were built. She said they would like to block off the hole in the fence at the very least.

Mayor Drake said his understanding was that the hole in the fence would be blocked and the path would wrap around the corner and join with the bridge.

Coun. Brzezinski noted that Altomare said he was the "shepherd of the creek" and she wondered how often he pulled out makeshift bridges.

Altomare said he thought about six or seven times over the last four months and he would continue to do so. He said the lack of respect for

people really concerned him. He explained that he had tried to get rid of the non-native Himalayan blackberries and THPRD did nothing to clean up the Creek. He reported that his neighbors also did their share of clean up in the Creek area too.

Mayor Drake said that under state and federal laws the City could not tear out natural plants in the Creek area or groom the area in any way. He noted that Steve Bosak from THPRD was there that evening and would address the issue in his testimony.

Stewart said there were groups that cleaned the blackberry bushes on the other side.

Coun. Ruby responded to Altomare's statement about teenagers congregating and vandalism, and noted that whenever they had a park that was natural, they had problems. He said in his view it was not acceptable for kids to be out there at midnight drinking and setting off firecrackers. He commented on Stewart's comments about the police not responding and said the Police Chief had assured them that was not the acceptable response.

Bishop replied they could not respond unless they were notified. He noted that earlier in the meeting he had referred to documents that the police had been called out on. He assured them that if a person called the police because of a party or fireworks or any other form of disturbance, the police would respond. He said contrary to however hard people thought the area was to get into, the police could respond with canines, bike officers, or patrol officers.

Coun. Ruby said if they called the police and were told to wait until summer, they should call him or one of the other Councilors. He related that there had been issues at a park near his home and said the park had been a source of problems because there was a connective nature path between his well-established residential neighborhood and Western Avenue. He said increased police presence had virtually eliminated the juvenile crime problem. He commented that he was not convinced based on what he had heard so far that the bridge would necessarily make the problems worse.

Stewart said she was told that she could call the police, but the problem was the park was not accessible by car. She said the teenagers would know the police were coming and they would leave the area before the police had a chance to arrive.

Mayor Drake related that he and Coun. Soth had gone out to the area and from the cul-de-sac it was about 75 yards. He affirmed that officers could go in and walk down the wooden plank path and enter that way or enter off 173rd. He said he was concerned if the police were not responding and he thought they were. He said they needed to get on the phone and call, and

agreed that if there was something like murder going on, then a teenage party would not take police priority.

Altomare said they were not concerned that they were not going to get police response. He related that it took 13 minutes for the police to get there when their alarm accidentally went off one night. He said when it came to issues of noise with skateboards or people walking on the path late at night they did that in a much shorter period of time than 13 minutes. He remarked that the police department could not respond fast enough.

Mayor Drake said he respectfully disagreed with Altomare, but the police would respond.

Rita Vossenkuhl, Beaverton, said she did not have a lot of specific information to add, but had some comments, and indicated the location of her home on the drawing. She said she had enjoyed living there for 14 years and had not suffered any vandalism, or any problems. She said she concurred with the Altomare and Stewart on the issue of noise and believed the noise was from the boardwalk. She explained that the area was open and their bedrooms were on that side of the house. She specified that if the ramp way was extended the skateboarders would really take advantage of it. She reported that there was partying and drinking outside of her window often in the summer.

Vossenkuhl said she was a chemical engineer and understood that it was easy to become enamored with a project and often the project took a life of its own. She said one became committed to a project and simply continued without visiting whether the project should really continue. She said she had concerns about issues of safety and thought it was not safe to walk the path and cross the Creek when it flooded. She said if people were really concerned about their children's safety they would keep the kids away from unsafe areas.

Coun. Soth asked if it was any less safe for kids to walk across a bridge or across the Creek like they did now.

Vossenkuhl said if they could not keep children away from there, the bridge was a better alternative.

Mayor Drake asked how one would keep children away from the area.

Vossenkuhl said she had grown up in a wetlands area and she did not visit the area as a child after her parents told her not to go there.

Lee Sjoquist, Beaverton, said he was a resident of Weybridge Way, in a house across from a ball field. He said they moved there in December 2000, and the only access to the ball field was from the parking lot at the school. He said the neighbors said it was a good place for kids to party in the summertime, because it was difficult for someone in authority to access the area. He said one of his concerns was traffic, and by making

the Stonegate area more accessible they were promoting the opportunity for all sorts of traffic. He pointed out that would impact the wetlands and they would have more problems than currently with litter and more activities at night. He commented that he was not there to ask that the project be dismantled but looked at more closely.

Roger Bergeson, Beaverton said he lived in about the same area as Sjoquist. He indicated on the map different locations that showed that parking was really not available for access to the area in question, which indicated that this was only fitting for a few people. He said the school grounds were fenced which showed that area was off limits. He pointed out where he thought the access should be. He said the School District headquarters was in the area and he asked why not put in a trailhead and a bridge in that area, because that area would not impact the neighborhood. He claimed that at night kids did not cross the Creek because they couldn't see where the water was, but if a bridge was installed anyone could cross at any time, there would be nothing to stop them. He said the Weybridge people were not against the bridge, only where it was located.

Mayor Drake noted that School District was looking at the Yamamoto property to build a bus barn and there might be a need for some Comprehensive Plan changes there. He said he did not think they were going to move their headquarters, but they might move some other offices to that location.

Bergeson said he apologized if he misled anyone. He pointed out that that area was in the process of becoming public property and was where access would logically be.

Mayor Drake said he and Bergeson had a lively conversation on this, and Bergeson had said there was no place for people to park coming from downtown Beaverton. He noted that the property had been bought with Metro Greenspaces funds and it was intended to be an amenity for the broad neighborhood and not used as a regional source. He said the bridge was considered not for a regional bridge, but was for safety and as an amenity for the neighborhood.

Bergeson said he respectfully disagreed because he believed that the property should have public access. He said they had heard testimony that people cut across to go to the softball fields and other use issues. He talked about parking issues and said he felt sorry for his neighbors when people cut through private property to get to the Creek. He commented that the school kids would use it the least of anybody and there would be a big variety of use by the general public.

Coun. Ruby referred to the fence that separated the school from the ball fields and asked what the component of the new bridge plan was with respect to access from the ball fields to the school.

Coun. Stanton said the fence separated the fields from Weybridge.

Bergeson pointed out the fence line on the diagram and noted where the hole in the fence was. He said people came into Weybridge and cut through the property separating two houses to get to the area in question.

Jim Holloway, Beaverton, said he lived in Weybridge and he explained he had a problem with neighbors and others coming through his property into the Willow Creek area. He said his concern was the plan to build the bridge on the lowest part of the Creek, because it was a fairly steep walk, and he was concerned about it at night. He said the bridge would be in the most inaccessible area possible, and it would bring in a lot of people and traffic and would act as an attraction to an area that was dark and difficult to monitor. He said the people of Stonegate should think about it and pointed out that the back of the school would be open and he was concerned with the potential crime issue. He said they had dealt with the noise issue and assured the police they would call.

Public Agencies:

Mayor Drake commented that the City had received a letter (in record) from Ron Willoughby, General Manager of the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD) in support of the appeal.

Steve Bosak, Superintendent of Planning and Development for THPRD, reiterated that THPRD was very much in support of the pedestrian bridge. He said from a safety aspect it would create a safe pedestrian passageway for children that were now fording the Creek, trampling the stream banks, creating erosion and lessening water quality and impacting the plant life, which supported the wildlife in that area. He noted that kids were walking across tree trunks and it was not the safest situation and staff had come up with a reasonable solution.

Bosak said the opponents of the project had concern about parking, but the bridge construction was not intended to bring people from outside of the neighborhood; it was a pedestrian access way. He commented that they were talking like only evil people would use the bridge and pathway and the majority of the people would be law-abiding citizens and would tend to move the bad element out. He said many of the other issues were about management, and the fencing would help keep people in the right places. He said if there was an answer to litter problems in all streams then that would be wonderful, but being in an urban environment trash came from all over the place. He said as far as the THPRD's maintenance of the stream areas with the blackberries and other invasive plants in that area, they did the best they could with the resources they had. He said they counted on other environmental groups to help. He

said THPRD supported the project and thought it was good for the community at large, for the neighborhood and he encouraged the City Council to support the project and allow it to go forward.

Coun. Soth noted they heard concern about the noise and wondered if it would be feasible to cover the walkway with something to minimize the noise.

Bosak said it had been discussed, but it was one of those problems from people using the facilities and frankly they did not have the resources to surface the boardwalks they had.

Coun. Stanton commented that they should not discourage blackberries because they protected intrusion and were a natural barrier.

Carol Smith, Beaverton, said she was Principal at Five Oaks Middle School. She reported that the school strongly supported the bridge as a safety vehicle for students. She said she appreciated the fact that those who were opposed to the bridge were also concerned about safety issues. She commented that she also was excited about the scientific study possibilities along with the science teachers at the school. She mentioned that it was her hope that as the knowledge of the wetland area increased; respect for that area and the neighbors would also increase. She noted that it would also be wonderful for young people to see the cooperation and partnership between the various agencies. She pointed out that this was really a good example to young people of citizens supporting something they believed in. She thanked Council for their interest in the project and noted that it could offer a great opportunity for kids to connect with their neighborhoods.

Coun. Soth said they heard about holes in the chain link fence and he wondered if the Five Oaks School or the School District was prepared to repair the holes and maintain the fence.

Smith said that some of the holes were on school property and others were on THPRD property. She noted that it was her understanding that the alignment of the fencing with the new bridge would address that issue.

Coun. Stanton thanked Smith for mentioning the science instruction and said her children had great experience in wetland science in her neighborhood. She explained that through class work her children were also taught respect for the property rights of others.

Coun. Doyle noted that regardless of what happened that evening, it sounded like Five Oaks Middle School would have a public service project in keeping the area clean and looked after.

Smith agreed that was an excellent idea.

Dan Woods, Beaverton, said he was the Assistant Principal at Five Oaks Middle School. He commented that he represented the staff and students at Five Oaks and felt it would best serve the community by providing a safe all-weather crossing of Willow Creek for children on a daily basis. He said the children crossed the Creek without a bridge and they consistently created structures to cross. He said the students were determined to get to and from school by the shortest route possible and construction of the bridge would offer a permanent safe structure for students who were already using the route. He noted that they had maybe hundreds of kids that could benefit from the science projects and he believed that the bridge could be a natural link with the surrounding community. He said a safe and defined structure would provide a designated route across the Creek for everyone to follow, in a sense funneling pedestrian traffic to a certain area. He affirmed that the students and staff at Five Oaks urged the Council to approve construction of the bridge across Willow Creek because they believed it provided a safe, permanent structure for the students that were already using the route and it would provide access to the Creek for the science students in Fall and Spring.

Coun. Soth asked if Woods had brought up the issue of holes in the fence with the maintenance people at the School District.

Woods said he did not know if that had been addressed, but the plan would close the holes in the fence. He said he thought the fence would funnel pedestrians across the bridge.

Coun. Soth asked if there was a plan to extend the fence to go around the corner and connect with the bridge to protect the people in Weybridge from the intrusions they had experienced.

Woods replied that he did not know the exact details of the plan, but there would be some kind of additional fencing.

Mayor Drake clarified that the fence would proceed along the north/south route and around the corner to connect with the opening of the proposed bridge so the accessibility would be reduced.

Rebuttal:

Mayor Drake asked if staff had anything to rebut.

Ramisch said they did not have any rebuttal.

Mayor Drake closed the public hearing.

Coun. Doyle said a couple of times through the testimony that evening the issue of connectivity for the Weybridge neighborhood had been brought up. He said he would like to see that connectivity issue addressed

because it sounded like people would like it. He commented that it might alleviate the serious situation of pedestrians cutting through private property.

Mayor Drake said staff could do that.

Coun. Soth MOVED, SECONDED by Coun. Brzezinski, that Council grant the appeal on APP 2000-0015 Willow Creek Pedestrian Bridge; Appeal of Design Review Denial and overturn the decision of the Board of Design Review.

Pilliod asked if it was understood that it was subject to the conditions that had been recommended that evening.

Mayor Drake said that it was understood.

Coun. Soth said he used to be young and creeks and kids had an affinity and he had also had his share of mishaps. He said kids being kids would do those things and it was up to the adults to protect them from their own follies in whatever way they could. He said with the access from the south to the schools and the fields around them, as well as the fact that most kids would commonly take the shortest route, the issue was connectivity, and the enhancement of the area of the non-native vegetation and replacement with native vegetation. He commented that those things were owed to the community. He noted that what he was looking at was connectivity and the ways it could be an amenity to the neighborhood. He said it was one thing the Council looked at in all of the factors used to make the best decision for the entire City. He said regardless of the testimony that evening he did not think the entire City's population would be overrunning that area. He commented that after 20 years on the Council, it was his experience that neighborhoods tended to be more confined to their own areas and their immediate surroundings rather than going across the City to take advantage of something unless it might be one of THPRD's amenities or a meeting in some other area.

Coun. Brzezinski said she would support the motion, and reiterated much of what Coun. Soth said in terms of having to look at the benefit for an entire neighborhood, largely defined. She said by her count, six people that were neighbors mentioned that the bridge at the proposed location would enhance children's safety and five said it would detract from public safety. She noted that it seemed there was a pretty balanced public opinion. She said she would give weight then to the engineers who knew about safety and the educators who believed this would maintain the safety of the students. She specified that she would go with the educators and the staff who said that it would enhance safety for the children who crossed there.

Coun. Brzezinski continued that she heard that citizens chose not to call the police because they thought nothing would happen and she said the

experience she had was that when they had situations in locations that would be equal to that, the police got there and the situation basically got cleaned up. She pointed out that there were several parks in the City that had problems and citizens and neighbors had worked to make them better and they were fine now. She said that when police had told residents that nothing could be done, she believed that it was in the context of "if you don't call when it was happening, there was nothing the Police could do about it." She said if the neighbors called the police about a situation and still did not get the response, then Council needed to hear about that.

Coun. Stanton said she would support the motion for the same reasons that Couns. Soth and Brzezinski said. She noted that with increased use they got decreased negative impact and it was true that lack of accessibility and lighting were not bad things, because it meant the park was less attractive to people who were not familiar with the route. She said the only people familiar with the route were kids that had gone to the school and the neighbors. She commented that was not likely that neighbors would vandalize their own neighborhood. She said it would increase safety and she believed it would be a bark dust or chip surface, not an asphalt path that skateboarders would be more likely to use. She mentioned that her neighbor called the police last summer because her dog was barking. She said it took seven minutes for the police to respond. She said she called the police because a man was harassing children on her street and it took them five minutes to arrive. She said if one called the police they would come. She stated that with increased use there would be a decrease in the perception of negative influence. She noted that this was a wonderful connectivity link.

Coun. Doyle said he was concerned about the impact on the neighbors who lived near the school and the fence and the challenge to the agencies was to fix the fencing and address the issues. He said he did not want to hear about strings of pedestrians going through the neighbors' private yards. He encouraged the people who testified that evening to talk to staff so that what went in there did not impact them adversely. He said he lived one block from a 38-acre park and had recently called the police on the non-emergency number and they responded well. He said this was an ongoing continuous thing and they need to work together to address the issues and he felt they could meet the challenges.

Coun. Ruby said he agreed with the comments. He said he wanted to let the appellants know that he knew it was hard to spend the money it took to make this kind of appeal, and explained that their money was not wasted because this kind of process helped Council focus on issues. He noted that it made Council aware of problems so that if they reoccurred they could respond. He said a lot of the comments he heard that evening came from being adjacent to basically a nature park and the fact that Council was not writing on a blank slate, since the boardwalk had already been built with the wide slats, maybe before the advent of the modern skateboard. He said now the modern skateboards did make a lot of sound that was irritating, but Council was asked to decide that evening how

much the construction of the bridge would exacerbate the problems that already existed and weigh that against the benefits presented from the schools and public agencies.

Question called on the motion. Couns. Ruby, Brzezinski, Doyle, Soth, and Stanton voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously. (5:0)

RECESS:

Mayor Drake called for a brief recess at 9:35 p.m.

RECONVENED:

The regular meeting reconvened at 9:50 p.m.

CITIZEN COMMUNICATION:

Bob Browning, Forrest Grove, said he represented Mr. and Mrs. Wilson who resided in the house at 170th and Baseline, where they had lived for 70 years. He said the Wilson's had employed him to work with the County as they were formulating their Station Zoning. He commented that the City was dragging the properties into the City and he had learned that the City zoning was similar or comparable to all of the efforts he and the Wilson's had been through. He said he was there to support the Ordinance contained in Agenda Bill 01066 and Annexation 2000-0003. He stated that he was there that evening on behalf of the Wilson's and they supported the annexation.

Pat Russell, Beaverton, commented that he thought neighbors had a right to have input on annexations since they were land use matters and this was part of the housekeeping/zoning amendments that were done a few months ago. He said the people in his NAC did not have a foggy notion of what Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) was and he was disappointed in the Planning Commission who seemed to not know what it was either. He said the property on 170th and Baseline had potential for as many as five thousand homes with the high-density status, as well as a very vital village area, which he equated with an area called Elmonica Station.

Russell noted that the Council needed to plan and budget for a community plan for the area and it should be more than what was involved at the Merlo Station. He estimated that funding over \$100,000 would be needed to do the appropriate studies. He said they needed to deal with infrastructure so it would become a TOD and not a suburban development. He said the neighborhood got some bad treatment with Meridian Village and they were not happy with that as a TOD. He encouraged Council to get a community plan and not after it was developed and asked if there was some grant funding available. He said the planning should extend from Merlo to Willow Creek station and

commented that there were not adequate development standards that the neighbors could understand.

COUNCIL ITEMS:

Coun. Soth reported that there was an issue that was affecting the public safety agencies in the County. He explained that there was an interference with the 9-1-1 systems with towers by Nextel and it was impossible to communicate by radio with the 800-megahertz system due to the frequencies granted to Nextel. He said Nextel could change their frequencies and the "dead spots" could change. He said it was something that needed to be addressed because as the frequencies got closer to the emergency frequencies, it could be worse. He commented that he would keep Council informed on this serious matter.

Coun. Stanton reported that on February 27, 2001, between 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. Washington County would bring forward their draft review of the State Park in the County. She said the meeting would take place at Washington County.

STAFF ITEMS:

Linda Adlard, Chief of Staff, reported that on March 14, 2001, there would be a hearing at the Legislature on House Bill 2380, Photo Red Light. She noted that the Chief of Police had initiated the Red Light bill and she thought it would not pass, but the City's bill would get out of committee that same day. She noted that Couns. Soth and Ruby would be testifying.

Adlard said the *Art of the Eye* exhibit at the Library would be there for two more weeks, and noted that visually impaired artists created the art. She invited the audience and Council to visit the exhibit.

PROCLAMATION:

Read Across Oregon (March 2, 2001)

CONSENT AGENDA:

Coun. Stanton MOVED, SECONDED by Coun. Doyle that the consent agenda be approved as follows:

Minutes of the regular meetings of January 22, and February 5, 2001

01058-A Budget Committee Lay Members' Recommendation on Compensation and Transfer Resolution

01059 Executive Classifications Range Adjustment and Transfer Resolution

01060 Liquor License Renewals – Annual Renewals

01061 Development Modifications and Funding Shortfalls for the Hart Rd. Project (Murray Boulevard – 165th Avenue) (Pulled for Separate consideration at this meeting.)

Contract Review Board:

01062 Waiver of Sealed Bidding – Purchase of One TapeChek Model 490 Video Cleaner-Inspector

Coun. Stanton abstained from voting on the February 5, 2001 minutes because she was not in attendance at that meeting. She noted one correction on the January 22, 2001 minutes on page four. She asked that the word *Metro's* be added for clarification in the sentence regarding the Elected Officials dinner.

Coun. Brzezinski said she had corrections to the February 5, 2001 minutes on page four, middle of the page on the third line add *American Library Association*.

Coun. Brzezinski referred to Agenda Bill 01058A said she would like it in the record that she supported the agenda bill. She said she supported the last sentence in the Information for Consideration, and noted that the Mayor's salary was not like other salaries, and she was supporting this salary for this mayor at this time, it did not mean that the next Mayor would automatically receive this salary.

Coun. Brzezinski referred to Agenda Bill 01059 and said she did not have issue with the pay range for the City Attorney. She had asked the other Councilors if the Attorney's salary was not part of the approval that night because she wanted to talk to the other Councilors about the evaluation process for the City Attorney that she had done over the past month. She said she would also like to present Council with additional information beyond the salary information they had. She asked for the agenda bill to be brought back as a separate agenda item at the next Council meeting.

Mayor Drake said staff could prepare it for her.

Coun. Ruby commented regarding what Coun. Brzezinski said about the salary standard for the Mayor, where it was possible that the Mayor's salary might not (under certain conditions) be the highest public official salary in the City in such case as a new mayor. He said he felt it did not cause any disruption in the salary schedule.

Coun. Stanton said she agreed with Coun. Ruby, and noted that the City Charter was no longer valid since there were no longer any District Judges and the Charter talked about the Mayor's salary as a percentage of a District Judge.

Question called on the motion. Couns. Stanton, Doyle, Ruby, Soth, and Brzezinski voting AYE the motion CARRIED unanimously. Coun. Stanton abstained from voting on the February 5, 2001 minutes.

Separate Consideration:

Agenda Bill 01061

Development Modifications and Funding Shortfalls for the Hart Rd. Project (Murray Boulevard – 165th Avenue)

Mayor Drake referred to AB 01061 and explained that there were two issues before Council that evening. He said one issue was sending the issue of the Hart Road improvements and the work of the Citizens Advisory Committee forward, with the notion that staff would prepare a land use process for approval of the Hart Road project. He pointed out that the Hart Road project was being funded by the County Major Street Transportation Improvement Program (MSTIP). He said that secondly Beaverton City Code required undergrounding of utilities but the MSTIP 3 was not funding the undergrounding of utilities, which would be approximately a \$500,000 cost and noting that there would be two variances requested in the process (as noted in the agenda bill). He said the agenda bill noted an additional \$25,000 expenditure for some additional vegetation buffering and some slight modification of standards related to planters and sidewalk width. He said the issue was whether the Council wished to proceed moving forward and the Council would have to act to request a variance of the Code for funding of the undergrounding utilities. He said if Council decided not to fund it they would instruct staff to move forward with the process to request a variance to not fund undergrounding the utilities. He said he would like to get some direction from Council that evening.

Coun. Stanton asked if Davis Road and Murray had undergrounding utilities as part of the MSTIP.

Ramisch said Davis Road was not undergrounded.

Coun. Stanton asked how it happened that project did not get undergrounded, because improvements were made on Davis Road, and she did not remember a similar discussion about Davis Road. She pointed out that Davis Road was a MISTIP project as well.

Mayor Drake said the undergrounding was part of the construction of Murray Blvd., which was a MSTIP2 project.

There was discussion why the undergrounding did not happen.

Coun. Soth stated that at the time, there was no funding to do undergrounding and it was not recommended by the Citizen Advisory

Committee (CAC) that worked on it. He pointed out that there was a less than enthusiastic response to the utility tax that was used on Murray Road.

Priscilla Christensen, Chair of the Hart Road CAC, said she was on the Davis Road committee and she did not recall that undergrounding ever came up. She said they worked hard to accomplish many things on the road that were important to the NAC and reiterated that she did not recall undergrounding coming up as a subject.

Mayor Drake noted that the County would not fund local requirements for funding of utilities in the MISTIP projects, which was why this was not funded on this project.

Coun. Stanton said she wondered what the Code said when the Davis Road project was underway and why weren't the undergrounding issues part of the discussion.

Mayor Drake commented that it might have been a variance process.

Mayor Drake said if it was critical to the Council, they could delay the item a week and then take action on it. He said it would be nice to know why they did not underground the utilities on Davis Road.

Coun. Soth said part of that could have been because the County through MISTIP did not undertake City types of things and the undergrounding was not applicable in the County portion.

Coun. Stanton reiterated that she wondered how they got around it on Davis Road.

Mayor Drake noted that not everything that was brought through the process was recommended by the CAC. He said he thought it would be helpful to wait until the following week so Coun. Stanton's question could be answered.

Coun. Brzezinski said she was happy to delay it, but if it was going to come back, she would like a little analysis of what would be given up if they tried to pull money from various sources to fund it. She noted that there were some issues relating to the 155th Ave. Pedestrian Improvements. She commented that the costs of that project were not as much as she had remembered and the Downing Drive project mentioned in the agenda bill was not currently in the draft Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) for next year. She said she would like discussion and clarification about certain funds or the general fund.

Mayor Drake directed that AB 01061 be carried to the next Council meeting.

ORDINANCES:

Suspend Rules:

Coun. Soth MOVED, SECONDED, by Coun. Brzezinski, that the rules be suspended, and that the ordinances embodied in AB 01064, 01065, 01066, 01067, 01068 be read for the first time by title only at this meeting, and for the second time by title only at the next regular meeting of the Council. Couns. Brzezinski, Doyle, Ruby, Soth, and Stanton voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously. (5:0)

Mark Pilliod, City Attorney, read the following ordinances for the first time by title only:

First Reading:

- 01064 An Ordinance Relating to the Display of Address Numbers on Buildings, Amending Beaverton Code Sections 9.02.010 Through 9.02.040
- 01065 An Ordinance Relating to Towing Operators, Amending BC 6.06.060, and Repealing BC 6.06.010, BC 6.06.020, BC 6.06.030, BC 6.02.040, BC 6.06.050 and BC 6.06.070
- 01066 An Ordinance Annexing Two Parcels of Land Lying Generally Outside of the Existing City Limits to the City of Beaverton; ANX 2001-0003 (16880 SW Baseline Road)
- 01067 An Ordinance Annexing Approximately 0.33 Acres Of Land Lying Generally Outside of the Existing City Limits to the City of Beaverton Amending Ordinance No. 1800, The Comprehensive Plan Map and Ordinance 2050, the Zoning Map on Property Consisting of One Parcel With a Single-Family Residence (16045 NW Bronson Road); ANX 2000-0004; CPA 2001-0002/RZ 2001-0002
- 01068 An Ordinance Annexing Approximately 0.5 Acres Of Land Lying Generally Outside of the Existing City Limits to the City of Beaverton and Amending Ordinance No. 1800, The Comprehensive Plan Map and Ordinance 2050, the Zoning Map on Property Consisting of One Parcel Developed With a Single Family Residence (115 NW 173rd Avenue); ANX 2000-0009; CPA 2001-0001/RZ 2001-0001

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

An executive session was deemed unnecessary.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Council at this time, the meeting was adjourned at 10:25 p.m.

Darleen Cogburn, City Recorder

APPROVAL:

Approved this 14th day of May, 2001

Rob Drake, Mayor.

