

REGULAR MEETING  
January 8, 2001

CALL TO ORDER:

A regular meeting of the Beaverton City Council was called to order by Mayor Rob Drake in the Forrest C. Soth Council Chambers, 4755 SW Griffith Drive, Beaverton, Oregon, on Monday, January 8, 2001 at 7:05 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present were Mayor Drake, Couns. Fred Ruby, Evelyn Brzezinski, Dennis Doyle, Forrest Soth, and Cathy Stanton. Also present were Chief of Staff Linda Adlard, City Attorney Mark Pilliod, Human Resources Director Sandra Miller, Finance Director Patrick O'Claire, Community Development Director Joe Grillo, Engineering Director Tom Ramisch, Police Chief David Bishop, Library Director Ed House, Fleet Supervisor Craig Crawford, Principal Planner Hal Bergsma, Senior Planner Alan Whitworth, Neighborhood Program Manager Megan Callahan, Economic Development Program Manager Janet Young and City Recorder Darleen Cogburn.

OATHS OF OFFICE:

Swearing in Mayor Drake, Chief of Staff Linda Adlard, Couns. Forrest Soth and Cathy Stanton.

Darleen Cogburn, City Recorder, issued the oaths of office to Mayor Drake, Couns. Soth and Stanton and to Chief of Staff Linda Adlard.

Mayor Drake remarked that they had served together for quite some time and it had been an honor and privilege, and commented that he highly valued his position and his service to the citizens of Beaverton. He commended the Councilors for their hard work, high integrity and commitment to the citizens of Beaverton. He thanked all of the Councilors for serving as they do each day.

ELECTION OF COUNCIL PRESIDENT:

Coun. Brzezinski. MOVED, SECONDED by Coun. Doyle to nominate and elect Coun. Stanton as Council President for 2001.

There were no other nominations.

The vote was called for. Couns. Brzezinski, Soth, Ruby, and Doyle Voting AYE, the motioned CARRIED unanimously. (4:0:1) Coun. Stanton abstained.

Coun. Stanton noted that the last time she was elected President she was in the hospital, and added she was glad to be present this time.

#### CITIZEN COMMUNICATION:

There was no one present who wished to speak.

#### COUNCIL ITEMS:

Coun. Ruby announced that there would be a "Kris-A-Cross" 3K Walk and 8K Run at Beaverton High School, which was a fundraiser for Kris Tyacke, the injured football player. He noted that the event was Saturday, January 27, 2001 at 8:30 a.m. and one could register for the race that day starting at 7:00 a.m. He added one of the sponsors of the race was the City of Beaverton Police Department. He said more information could be found on the Internet at [www.racecenter.com](http://www.racecenter.com).

#### STAFF ITEMS:

Linda Adlard, Chief of Staff, noted that it was the first day of the new Legislative session, and reminded Coun. Stanton that the Council needed a Legislative Committee to begin meeting with her on pieces of legislation. She reported the first intersection/light for the Photo Red Light campaign was running, and warning letters began on January 13, 2001. She pointed out that actual citations would begin on January 24, 2001, and noted that the intersection was at Beaverton Hillsdale Highway and Griffith Drive. She explained that the actual photo would be taken when the light was red so it would be an intentional act of going into the intersection. She pointed out that the issue was that running red lights killed people, and said she hoped everyone took heed and began to slow down and stop at all red lights.

Coun. Brzezinski noted that she saw the cameras and was concerned that it might take photos on right-turns.

Adlard said it might take the photo, but it would not result in a citation.

#### PRESENTATIONS:

01001      Presentation of 2000 Community Policing Award, Received From the International Association Chiefs of Police and ITT Industries, to the Beaverton Police Department

Dave Bishop, Chief of Police, announced that they were proud to receive the award. He said he had received the award for the Beaverton Police Department at the International Police Chief's Conference. He explained that the organization evaluated the Department Community Policing Plan and they received one of the top awards. He said it was his pleasure to present the award to Mayor Drake for the City.

Adlard introduced the new Library Director Ed House and welcomed him to the staff.

- 01002 Professional Basketball Team Portland Fire Community Outreach Program (Carried to next month.)

CONSENT AGENDA:

**Coun. Brzezinski MOVED, SECONDED, by Coun. Soth that the consent agenda be approved as follows:**

Minutes of the regular meetings of October 30, November 13, November 27, December 4, December 11, and December 18, and the special meetings of October 16, and December 7, 2000

- 01003 Liquor License: Pizzicato Gourmet Pizza - New Outlet
- 01004 Boards and Commissions Appointment
- 01005 1750 NW 173<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Expedited Annexation (ANX 2000-0005)
- 01006 820 SW 173<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Expedited Annexation (ANX 2000-0007)
- 01007 CUP 2000-0023 Voicestream Wireless Monopole
- 01008 CUP 2000-0028 Sexton Crest Conditional Use Permit (PUD)
- 01009 TPP 2000-0002 Sexton Crest Tree Preservation Plan
- 01010 Sunset Highway Expedited Annexation (ANX 2000-0006) and Comprehensive Plan Amendment and Rezone (CPA2000-0016/RZ2000-0014)
- 01011 SB 2000-0013 Shipley 4-Lot Subdivision
- 01012 FS 2000-0006 Shipley Flexible Setback
- 01013 Bid Award – Mowing and Maintenance of Drainage Facilities

Contract Review Board:

Contract Award for Design Engineering Services for Pedestrian Improvements on SW 155<sup>th</sup> Avenue, between Nora Road and Weir Road (Pulled for separate consideration at this meeting.)

01015 Waiver of Sealed Bidding – Purchase Seven Vehicles From the State of Oregon Price Agreement

Coun. Brzezinski related that she would abstain from voting on the minutes of November 13, 2000, December 11, 2000, and December 18, 2000, because she was absent. She noted on December 11, 2000, it said she had voted and she was not at that meeting. She mentioned the minutes of November 27, 2000, and asked Cogburn to clarify a statement attributed to her on page 9.

Coun. Soth gave corrections to the minutes of November 13, 2000, to Cogburn.

Coun. Stanton mentioned she was not at the meeting of October 30, 2000 and would abstain from voting on the minutes, and noted that she had given Cogburn corrections.

Mayor Drake noted that he had a request for AB 01014 to be pulled for separate consideration later in the meeting.

**Question called on the motion. Couns. Brzezinski, Soth, Doyle, Stanton, and Ruby voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously. (5:0) Note that Coun. Brzezinski abstained from the minutes of November 13, December 11, December 18, and Coun. Stanton abstained from the minutes of October 30, 2000.**

Separate Consideration:

01014 Contract Award for Design Engineering Services for Pedestrian Improvements on SW 155<sup>th</sup> Avenue, between Nora Road and Weir Road

Coun. Stanton said she had asked for AB 01014 to be pulled for separate consideration because she wanted to vote “no” on this item. She explained that there were already many things on the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) and she had a hard time approving new projects that were being added, and noted that some things had been on the CIP for 28 years. She clarified that she would vote “no” on this because she did not want to divert the monies in the CIP to new projects, when existing projects were being delayed because of lack of funding.

**Coun. Doyle MOVED, SECONDED by Coun. Soth, for approval of AB 01014.**

Coun. Soth pointed out that he saw this as not having construction money, but repairs that had to be done regardless. He noted if the Budget Committee decided to add it, it would be in the next year’s budget. He had

no objection to the design engineering process, because it was required. He expressed his hope that it would not have to be changed again when the construction was actually done.

Coun. Stanton said she was looking at substantial funds that would be added, and that money could go to what was on the plan as opposed to projects added to the plan.

Coun. Doyle said he strongly supported the project and urged the Council to approve it.

**Question called on the motion Couns. Brzezinski, Doyle, Ruby, Soth voting AYE, Coun. Stanton voting NAY, motion CARRIED. (4:1)**

PUBLIC HEARING:

01016 Peterkort Village (Venture Properties, Inc.) Expedited Annexation (ANX 2000-0008) Public Hearing

Joe Grillo, Community Development Director, read a prepared statement defining the process that needed to be followed for this hearing, including the various required disclosure statements (in record).

Grillo asked if there was anyone present who wanted to challenge the Mayor or Councilors' right to hear the matter that evening.

There were no challenges.

Grillo asked if there were any Councilors who wished to abstain due to impartiality.

There were none.

Grillo asked if there was anyone present who wished to challenge the Council's authority to hear the matter.

There were no challenges.

Grillo then read the rules for the hearing (in the record).

Coun. Soth reported that he had driven through the area and was familiar with what was there and what was proposed to be there.

Mayor Drake noted that they were all familiar with the area.

Grillo noted that the property included the Right of Ways (ROWs), Venture Properties property and other public lands, specifically those of Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD) and Washington County. He said the Council had received corrected pages four and five of the staff report which dealt with the staff's findings. He noted that the Council had

also received copies of letters as follows: the letter requesting the hearing from Charles Thompson, letters from Sharon and Robert Russell, Patricia Worscheh, and a petition with 44 signatures from various parties mostly along 114<sup>th</sup> Avenue. He stated the Council had a copy of a letter from Ron Willoughby, General Manager of THPRD, responding to Charles Thompson, letters from the County, THPRD and a copy of the annexation on behalf of Venture Properties. He reminded Council that when the THPRD land was annexed, it was a THPRD park, not a City park. He remarked that this hearing was at the sole discretion of the Council. He noted that at the meeting in December 2000, they had agreed to annex the property to the City, and there was a generic deadline for the annexation, which was the reason for the first reading of the ordinance that evening.

Coun. Soth questioned a letter from Thompson dated December 21, 2000 (in the record). He said there appeared to be a misunderstanding in paragraph one, and pointed out that there were no public ROWs in the Venture Properties land itself. He asked, since the Venture Properties land did not include any public ROWs, what the public ROWs to be included were, and what was the rationale for that.

Grillo commented there were ROWs in the development, and then noted that Barnes Road ran from Cornell all the way to the Sunset Transit Station (Hwy 217), and Cedar Hills ran from Barnes up to Cornell, and a portion of Cornell ran to a cross street to the west. He stated the rationale was that staff believed that Council should, with this annexation or any annexation in this area, take a look at being able to seriously require or be able to negotiate with vacant developable property in that area. He noted that staff was not looking at developed residential or non-residential area. He indicated the staff felt it was in the City's best interest to pursue the Peterkort and Tuffel properties, and two vacant properties that had potential for key development in the future.

Mayor Drake noted that Chief Bishop had expressed police preference for annexing ROWs, and asked him for comments.

Bishop explained that in order to get to a particular point, it was to the police's benefit since they were already traveling the ROWs, and when they were present people expected them to take action if there was an accident or other issue. He noted that it was good common sense that if the police were already there, they should have jurisdiction so they could enforce it.

Coun. Soth asked Bishop, currently within that area, as well as other parts of unincorporated Washington County, in the absence of E-9-1-1, did the City and County try to determine the nearest unit to whatever call they had and respond accordingly.

Bishop reported they had a mutual aid agreement and the closest car responded.

Coun. Stanton pointed out that the letter from THPRD indicated five properties, and the annexation referred to four tax lots, which only incorporated one of the four properties.

Grillo said that was correct and noted that she had inquired about why all five tax parcels of that park were not included. He reported that staff missed one of the tax parcels that were part of the park, the one at the corner of Cedar Hills Blvd. and Cornell Road.

Coun. Stanton requested further clarification and said the letter from THPRD referred to five different locations, not tax lots. She said the agenda bill talked about four tax lots. She noted the four tax lots were all part of one of the five parks that THPRD talked about.

Grillo said he may have misunderstood, and explained that in this particular park there were a number of parcels and one was left out of the list by accident. He clarified that it was not listed in the legal description and therefore it was not in this annexation and would come to the Council at a later time.

Coun. Stanton clarified that they were only talking about the George Foege Park.

Grillo agreed that was correct, that the tax parcels related to only that park.

Grillo clarified that there were five parks and not all of those parks were in the two annexations being considered that evening. He explained that Council had previously approved by consent and dealt with Peppertree and Forest Hills parks, and this annexation only dealt with this particular park (George Foege).

Coun. Stanton clarified that the Cedar Hills Park and Cedar Hills Rec Center would come to Council at a different time.

Grillo said that was correct.

Mayor Drake opened the Public Hearing

Jean Frey, Portland (unincorporated Washington County) noted that she sent a letter, which was not listed on the record that Grillo read.

Mayor Drake said he had copy of it and would enter it into the record.

Frey stated that she felt the annexation seemed to be motivated by financial benefits to Venture Properties. She indicated that was a reasonable proposal for both sides except that the City of Beaverton chose to include a large amount of property in the form of public ROWs and parks that were not on the application submitted by Venture Properties. She stated that the engrossed annexation proposal had potential side effects for many property owners. She said she was

disturbed from the quote in the *Oregonian* by Mayor Drake, saying the neighbors were over reacting for questioning the ramifications of this proposal. She related since private property owners would be nearly encircled by the City's proposal, it was clear that they would most likely be annexed into the City of Beaverton at a future date. She noted that if the information in the newspaper was correct, homeowners in the City of Beaverton paid more in property taxes than their neighbors in unincorporated Washington County. She stated that with that information alone, she felt it would be irresponsible if she and her neighbors did not question the benefits vs. the costs, just as they expected the City did when they made a deal with Venture Properties. She claimed that an ambiguous higher level of urban services would be a tough sell to property owners facing significant property tax increases.

Frey noted that Anne Madden with Washington County stated in the *Oregonian* that it was a forgone conclusion that Cedar Mill and Cedar Hills would eventually be a part of the City of Beaverton. She related that if it was true, (which she believed), then it would be good public policy to be up-front with all of the citizens in these communities rather than quietly taking bits and pieces and leaving the citizens feeling manipulated. She added if this was not the intent of the City, then she would like them to please remove the ROW's and the parcels that were not on the application for Venture Properties. She asked the Council to consider annexing only the tax lots on that request until they made a clear and public decision with Washington County, on the future jurisdiction of Cedar Mill and Cedar Hills.

Mayor Drake thanked Frey for her comments, and noted that their area was in the Beaverton Urban Services Boundary (USB) area as defined by State law. He explained that the City was required by State law to figure out eventual boundaries and providers of services. He stated that if she would look at the long record of the Council and himself, they did not annex people broadly who did not want to be part of the City. He pointed out that just because they were nearby, did not mean her area would be annexed.

Mayor Drake invited Frey to look at the City map, and noted that there was an area near Highways 217 and 26 that was annexed to the City at the request of Tri-met. He stated that they had other "islands" in the City and the City had not been an aggressor, and even if the City was an aggressor, they would not be annexing her area. He reported the City was required to set the boundaries and was in the process of working on a boundary with Hillsboro, but there is no agreement at this point on the eventual future services. He said they were complying with state law, and the City had an interest north of the highway, but that did not mean the City would annex her or her neighbors.

Frey stated if Tueffels property were annexed they would be totally encircled by the City of Beaverton. She said it was not that they were against coming into the City of Beaverton, she just wanted them to



understand that she felt it was a backhanded way of wanting to be included in the process, and she was there to voice her interest.

Mayor Drake noted that was why they had a public hearing. He explained Metro gives them the option whether to hold a hearing or not. He reported once Thompson's letter was received they changed it from consent to a public hearing and said he and the Council valued their input. He related he could not see the Council annexing them, in the event they were encircled.

Frey stated she knew that was a policy and thanked them for not being aggressors, but said that was not a guarantee.

Coun. Soth noted that he served on the Council for over 20 years and on the Planning Commission before that, and in that time he had not known of the City making an island area annex. He emphasized that said a lot for the leadership. He reported they had been trying to determine the USB, and her area would be part of the City of Beaverton as determined in the Washington County 2000 Plan. He reiterated the City worked hard to keep the people informed. He noted at this time there was not a proposal to complete the short stretch of Cornell Road which would complete the circle and there would need to be an outstanding reason why they would. He noted the people approved E-9-1-1 by the Public Safety Levy. He explained there would be a better way of dispatching vehicles because in the next few years there would be an automatic vehicle locator, which would assist with dispatching aid to the correct location. He added this way the dispatchers would be able to send the nearest unit to serve the citizens.

Coun. Doyle commented that he understood their concern, and appreciated their concerns getting noticed. He said it seemed natural to distrust anything that government did, but they needed to know that the Councilors all lived in the City and cared about process. He noted that, unlike other cities nearby, they were not paid bureaucrats and they liked the folks in the community. He stated that what the letter writer had implied was incorrect. He pointed out that if they looked at the record, they would see that the Mayor and Council had protected neighborhoods time and again. He appreciated their reasons for coming in and commended them for being there.

Coun. Stanton referred to Senate Bill 122, which required the local jurisdictions to map all of the unincorporated areas around them and determine who would provide urban services in the future. She said it took 13 years to work it out between the City of Portland and the City of Beaverton on the east side. She noted that currently they were working with Hillsboro on the west side, and reiterated that they were required to figure it out and provide the services. She stated the City did not go to Venture Properties; the City did not go to the owners, but the owners came to the City. She stressed there were eight properties south of Walker that were totally encircled and they had been that way because

they chose not to become a part of the City of Beaverton. She explained that the neighborhood has to want to come in, and anytime anyone came in, they had service needs. She stated that she would never do a forced annexation, and she did not believe they would do that in the future.

Coun. Stanton commented that Frey made two statements that she did not like, and one was "making a deal" and the other was "taking bits and pieces". She clarified they were not making deals, they were providing services required by state law and they were not taking anything. She reiterated that people were coming to the City, and saying they wanted to be part of the City.

Frey remarked that according to the paper there were deals made with the City of Beaverton and Venture Properties. She noted it said in the *Oregonian* "bits and pieces" which was referring to the 14 acres that were not listed. She added it appeared that the 14 acres did not request annexing.

Coun. Stanton stated that she was talking about public jurisdiction and not property owners. She explained they were inter-jurisdictional and wanted to be part of the city.

Frey suggested it would be beneficial to inform the citizens about this since they did not have this information.

Coun. Stanton stated they had not acted on the letter they received from THRPD and when the Venture project came in, it was contiguous with it.

Frey mentioned this was information that the Council was aware of that the average citizen was not. She asked to please make it clear in a public decision so the public is not left in the dark and appearing at a public hearing with the wrong information. She said it was hard to make an educated appeal without the information. She commented she was for the annexation and would like to be part of the public process.

Kenneth Peters, Portland (unincorporated Washington County), stated that he agreed with the previous speaker. He said he thought the City was too big to govern comfortably, and noted that he grew up in a town that was small. He commented he would like the Cedar Hills community taken over from the County.

Coun. Stanton explained any unincorporated area in the County could incorporate any time they wanted to. She noted that Aloha tried about ten years ago, and found out they did not meet the State requirements. She explained that an area had to provide certain services in order to become an incorporated city. She said Cedar Hills could have done that the previous day, if they wanted to.

Coun. Soth clarified it took business' as well as residential to be financially based and to have the funds to incorporate as a city, and this was one of

the reasons Aloha could not incorporate. He noted that June 30, 2001 was the deadline for annexations since July 1, 2001 was the date the County had to do their tax rates. He reported everything had to be settled by March 31, 2001 in order for the County to incorporate it into their system. He said the projections for the next 15 years, between Hillsboro and the Multnomah County line were upwards of 100,000 people, and other parts of the metropolitan area would be similar. He sympathized with what Peters was saying because when he came to Beaverton, Cedar Hills was way out in the tules and Beaverton had 2150 people. He noted that currently the population was about 70,000 and they needed to recognize the changing times, needed to adjust and to accommodate what was needed.

George Choban, Portland (unincorporated Washington County), asked if everything from the Multnomah County line to the outskirts of Forest Grove to the coast range and from Farmington Road to West Union Road. by State law, would be one city or another. He asked if there would not be any unincorporated areas in the next 20 to 30 years

Mayor Drake explained that state law required that people would know, in the future who would be providing their services. He gave the example that in a specific area, they would commit to what agency would be providing the service. He said this was by agreement between the county, cities and the special service districts on what the future service areas would be. He added this did not mean that there was a requirement to annex property. He said this would give the citizens some assurance 20 years out, who should be providing services if there were any change in the boundaries or the existing area.

Coun. Brzezinski explained Senate Bill 122 laid out what city or jurisdiction it would be if it ever decided to annex. She noted it did not say they had to annex, but they had the knowledge of which way it would go. She reported that was the big issue on the east side of Beaverton at the Multnomah and Washington County border. She said the question was, if the area west of Washington and Multnomah County lines ever annexed, which way would it go. She reiterated that Senate Bill 122 did not require annexations, but required what city it would be annexed to if it decided to annex.

Coun. Soth mentioned it only applied to the areas within the Urban Growth Boundaries (UGB), which was why it didn't apply to a lot of the unincorporated rural areas. He added the cities also had to specify an annexation plan, which did not specify timeframes because of all of the uncertainties.

Mayor Drake closed the Public Hearing.

Grillo pointed out that with this particular ordinance the staff asked that the effective date be 30 days after the second reading. He agreed Council usually did not make the annexation effective until June 30 of the current year with property that has real value other than land value.

He added they had committed to making the annexation sooner, so the developer could go through the permit process with the City. He noted that the second reading would be on January 22, 2001, and it was effective in 30 days. He wanted that to be clear to everyone.

**Coun. Stanton MOVED, SECONDED by Coun. Doyle approval of AB 01016 Peterkort Village (Venture Properties, Inc.) Expedited Annexation (ANX 2000-0008)**

Coun. Doyle said that he supported the motion and commented that the neighbors that were there that evening would be an asset to the City if they decided to become part of it, by being active and concerned citizens. He felt there was a lesson of communicating better that had been learned at this meeting. He added they were welcomed to be part of the City if they chose to annex in the future.

Coun. Soth agreed with what Coun. Doyle said. He noted this annexation had no financial impact on the folks not included in the annexation. He thanked them for their interest and said he hoped they would be back when the County laid out their plan.

Coun. Brzezinski noted that Thompson's letter stated a good summary of the reasons they wanted to have the hearing. She described there were seven points and four of them could have been handled if they had been able to have a meeting with them and discuss issues about THPRD, etc. She expressed her regret that the annexation notice came out on Dec. 19, 2000, and felt it was a not a good time to send out the information since that time of year was so busy. She said she presumed that Venture Properties was trying to get this taken care of quickly, so they could start developing their property.

**Question called on the motion. Couns. Doyle, Soth, Brzezinski, Soth and Ruby voting AYE, motion CARRIED unanimously (5:0)**

ORDINANCES:  
Suspend Rules:

**Coun. Soth MOVED, SECONDED, by Coun. Stanton, that the rules be suspended, and that the ordinance embodied in AB 01017 be read for the first time by title only at this meeting, and for the second time by title only at the next regular meeting of the Council. Couns. Brzezinski, Doyle, Ruby, Soth, and Stanton voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously. (5:0)**

Pilliod commented on the Community Development Director's comments about the timing of the ordinance, and said it appeared to him as presented that it would become effective 30 days after the Council conducted a second reading. He said it did not look like there were any amendments that were needed.

Grillo clarified that nothing could be added to this ordinance that included the other parcel; that would have to be a separate action. He said they would be coming back with a separate ordinance.

Pilliod asked if what was in the packet was correct.

Grillo said that was correct.

First Reading:

Pilliod read the following ordinance for the first time by title only:

01017 An Ordinance Annexing Approximately 34 Acres of Land Plus Right of Way Lying Generally Outside of the Existing City Limits to the City of Beaverton; ANX2000-0008 (Peterkort Village/Venture Properties)

Pilliod read the following ordinance for the second time by title only:

Second Reading and Passage:

00-418 An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 2050, The Development Code, by Amending and Adding Provisions for Wall and Roof Signs; TA 2000-0007

**Coun. Soth MOVED, SECONDED, by Coun. Stanton that the ordinance embodied in AB 00-418 now pass. Roll call vote. Couns. Brzezinski, Ruby, Soth, Stanton and Doyle voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously. (5:0)**

RECESS: Mayor Drake called for a brief recess at 8:31 p.m.

RECONVENED:

Mayor Drake reconvened the meeting at 8:46 p.m.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

**Coun. Soth MOVED, SECONDED, by Coun. Doyle that Council move into executive session in accordance with ORS 192.660 (1) (h), to discuss the legal rights and duties of the governing body with regard to litigation or litigation likely to be filed. Couns. Brzezinski, Doyle, Soth, Ruby, and Stanton voting AYE, the motion CARRIED unanimously. (5:0)**

The executive session convened at 8:47 p.m.

The executive session adjourned at 9:01 p.m.

The regular meeting reconvened at 9:01 p.m.

OTHER BUSINESS:

Coun. Stanton asked the Finance Director and the Chief of Staff to convene a meeting with the Budget Committee lay members to review salaries for the Mayor.

There was general discussion of which salaries should be considered.

Adlard said the Council could decide on their own about the City Attorney's salary and the Mayor could evaluate any other staff person salary. She commented the Mayor's salary always had lay member involvement. She stated she would like to help evaluate the City Attorney's and Mayor's salary, then the internal structure would be put into place.

Coun. Stanton asked if this was for the City Attorney and the Mayor, and noted that she wanted to get information on the City Attorney's salary.

Adlard stated they needed to do what they always did and evaluate and compare the salaries with other jurisdictions.

Mayor Drake clarified it was done by consensus.

Coun. Stanton referred to the Legislative Committee and asked who would like to serve on it. She noted that that she and Couns. Soth and Doyle would be part of that Committee. She asked if they could also have a dinner with the Legislators, since that seemed to be valuable.

Mayor Drake agreed that was a good idea.

Adlard echoed her agreement and said the dinner was a successful and helpful event. She added there was \$2000 in the budget for this.

Coun. Stanton explained that they had the dinner two years ago with all of the legislators for Washington County, and it was a good opportunity to present the City's needs. She added she would send a list to the other Councilors, so they could go over the Council Liaison assignments.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Council at this time, the meeting was adjourned at 9:08 p.m.

---

Darleen Cogburn, City Recorder

APPROVAL:

Approved this 19<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2001

---

Rob Drake, Mayor

