

## Exhibit 2.3

### Brett Cannon

---

**From:** David Mann <dave\_mann@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 14, 2020 8:59 AM  
**To:** Brett Cannon  
**Subject:** Comments - TP2020-0006/SDM2020-0006  
**Attachments:** IMG\_5544.JPG

Current Planning Division Members,

My name is David Mann, and I own the property at 6997 SW Tierra Del Mar drive. My Wife and I have lived here for 14 years. This is our home. Beaverton is our home. We love Beaverton, and support the police, the current mayor, and everything about the city. It is a good place to live.

One thing that makes it special to live here is that the people in charge usually make good decisions about what to do with issues concerning the safety, and livability of the city; including the planning division board.

In regard to TP2020-0006/SDM2020-0006 specifically for removal of 14 more community trees; I am opposed to this action. Removing 14 more trees from lot 118 will basically clear-cut this property in a neighborhood where houses are built amongst a canopy of trees. This will drastically change the appearance of our neighborhood, cause erosion concerns , and disrupt the wildlife on that land parcel.

I am not opposed to removing some of the trees. Only the ones that need to be removed to build the house should be removed in my opinion.

For instance, The large trees flanking the sides of the existing lots should be denied removal. My opinion is that this is a convenience move for the new homeowner/ builder; Not a necessity. Sure, it would be easier to keep the roofs clean after the house is built. However, The house could still be built in that pocket of trees, and then would look like any other house in the neighborhood without the removal of all 14 community trees.

This large scale type of removal was not afforded to any other homeowner/ builder when the rest of the surrounding houses were built The evidence is easily seen by taking a drive through the neighborhood. Note how each house is flanked with trees. I suppose the planning commission has access to public records indicating how many trees were allowed to be removed from any of the adjoining lots in this area during the construction of the existing houses. I have not seen them, but I am sure you would be hard pressed to find any planning action that allowed for 14 trees to be removed on any given lot.

I have 13 trees on my property, and some of them are very close to my house. ( within 5 feet). As with every other house in the neighborhood. This tells me the planning commission at the time made it clear that only enough of the trees on any given lot would be removed to build any house. The builder had to make the house fit within those limits.to preserve the natural environment.

I'm also concerned that there would be erosion problems, and think there should be a study done to see how this will effect water run off, and slope stability.

Removing wildlife habitat is another concern. I have attached a picture of a very large bird that visit lot 118 every other year like clockwork. The Audubon Society is working on an identification for the birds. Its some kind of Hawk or Eagle. When they are here they nest in the trees in lot 118 around May-June.

In closing, I would like to say that we welcome our new neighbors, and progress.

However, I hope the planning commission will consider that basically clear-cutting this lot for the sake of homeowner/ building convenience does not fit this neighborhood. It would be irresponsible in my opinion, and not equitable for my family or my neighbors.

I'm hoping a revised plan can be made

Sincerely

David Mann