



MEMORANDUM

TO: City Council

FROM: Steve Regner, Senior Planner
Brian Martin, Long Range Planning Manager

MEMO DATE: November 13, 2020

PRESENTATION: November 17, 2020

SUBJECT: Downtown Design Project APP2020-0006, AP2020-0007, APP2020-0008 -
Vehicle Regulations Summary

ATTACHMENT A: Vehicle Regulation Scenario Quick Reference Guide

ATTACHMENT B: Planning Commission Memo Summarizing Vehicle Regulation Scenarios

Staff has prepared the following memo to provide background information and summarize options City Council can consider regarding land use regulations for vehicle sales and service uses in the proposed Downtown Design District.

The Downtown Design Project was initiated to help Beaverton achieve the community's vision of a more vibrant Downtown, with an emphasis on dense, walkable neighborhoods. Through this process, auto-related uses, including vehicle sales and vehicle service uses were identified as largely inconsistent with goals of a walkable downtown. These uses typically are housed on less intensely developed sites and often rely on significant surface parking for vehicle storage. Based on the functional characteristics typical of these uses, and consistent with feedback given by City Council, Planning Commission, and the community, the proposed Downtown Design District Development Code amendments proposed to prohibit vehicle sales and vehicle services uses throughout the District.

During adoption hearings, the Planning Commission received testimony from existing vehicle sales and service businesses, expressing concern about how the proposed prohibitions would impact those existing businesses. Based on information provided by those who gave testimony, city staff returned to Planning Commission with a series of scenarios on a spectrum between implementing the Urban Design Framework Goals and providing the requested accommodations for auto-related businesses. Attachments to this memo provide a both a detailed list of considerations for each scenario on the spectrum and a summary graphic that provides and assessment of how well the scenarios implement the Urban Design Framework goals. Staff will be asking City Council to consider these scenarios and identify the preferred approach to be incorporated into the Downtown Design District Development Code.

Planning Commission supported, in their recommendation to City Council, Scenario 4 from the Attachment B to this memo, which prohibits new auto sales and service uses but makes existing uses conditional uses. The Scenario 4 approach also allows the uses to expand floor area 20 percent and rebuild even if significantly destroyed, among other provisions. In addition, Planning Commission exempted vehicle sales and service uses from active ground-floor use requirements and exempted those uses from minimum FAR requirements when they are rebuilding after being destroyed.

During discussions, Planning Commissioners asked the City Council to closely examine two of its recommendations. These issues are listed below briefly.

Applicability of Minimum Floor Area Ratio Requirements (Attachment B, Page 7)

Minimum floor-area ratio (FAR) requirements establish the minimum amount of building per site when development occurs. Vehicle sales uses often have difficulty meeting minimum FAR requirements if they use large areas of their sites for storage of their inventory of vehicles for sale.

In the event that that the vehicle sales or service buildings on a site were destroyed (such as by fire but for any reason), the Development Code would normally require that the new buildings on the site would meet the minimum floor-area ratio requirement. Given that Scenario 4 limits the expansion of existing vehicle sales use floor area to 20 percent (meaning new structures for vehicle sales uses built after the original building was destroyed could only be 20 percent larger than the building's size at the time the regulations went into effect), the vehicle sales or service use likely would not be able to meet minimum floor-area ratio, even using the phased approach allowed in the proposed Downtown Code. (For example, the minimum floor area ratio for Regional Center - Transit Oriented is 1.5 square feet of floor area for each square foot of site area, but the phased approach would allow a development on a large site to provide a minimum of 1 square foot of floor area for each square foot of site area in the first phase.)

To rebuild, then, the vehicle sales operator would have to build additional buildings on the site that contained other uses, such as retail, office or residential uses, so they could meet the minimum FAR.

The Planning Commission, considering that eventuality and hearing public testimony, voted to exempt vehicle sales and service uses from the minimum floor area ratio requirements. All other Downtown sites and uses would have to meet the minimum FAR.

Planning Commission suggested City Council consider this issue to determine if it wants to make any adjustments. The attachments to this memo describe considerations regarding the FAR exemption.

Applicability of Active Ground Floor Use Rules (Attachment B, Page 9)

Rules that require the most active uses in ground-floor tenant spaces built at the street are proposed through Text Amendment TA2020-0004. These rules would prohibit vehicle sales and service uses to occupy these ground-floor, street-adjacent tenant spaces. This prohibition would impact several existing vehicle sales and service uses, preventing the expansion of existing

structures containing these uses, or preventing the on-site relocation of structures containing these uses up to the street.

For example, if the proposed amendments recommended by staff were to take effect, the vehicle sales uses on the site bounded by Broadway, Hall, Watson and Canyon would be subject to the Active Ground-floor Use Regulations along each frontage except Canyon. That would mean any vehicle sales uses in spaces within 10 feet of Broadway, Hall, or Watson would be non-conforming uses. They could continue as long as the spaces were not vacant for more than one year, consistent with the Development Code's non-conforming use provisions. No expansion of the uses could occur in those areas of the building. Any expansion of the vehicle sales and service uses on the site would have to occur on portions of the site where these rules do not apply, likely limited to the Canyon frontage or interior to the site in a structure separate from those fronting Broadway, Hall, and Watson.

If buildings were destroyed for any reason and had to be rebuilt, buildings could be rebuilt along the street but would have to meet the Active Ground-floor Use requirements (for the percentage of the façade required by the code).

To avoid the non-conforming situation and potential complications when destroyed structures are rebuilt, the Planning Commission recommended that existing vehicle sales and service uses be exempt from the active ground-floor requirements, allowing them to expand on site consistent with Scenario 4, no greater than 20% larger than their size at the time these regulations go into effect.

Planning Commission suggested City Council consider this issue to determine if it wants to make any adjustments. The attachments to this memo further describe considerations regarding the Active Ground-floor Use exemption.

Summary of Planning Commission Recommendations

Table 1 below provides a summary of the staff recommendation and Planning Commission recommendation regarding vehicle sales and service regulations within the Downtown Design District. This same table can also be found in Exhibit 2 of the Downtown Design Project Appeal Hearing Agenda Bill [AB 20300].

Table 1: Summary of the Staff Recommendation and the Planning Commission Recommendation Regarding Vehicle Sales and Service Regulations

	Staff Recommendation	Planning Commission Recommendation
Existing vehicle sales and service uses	Prohibited ¹	Conditionally Permitted
New vehicle sales and service uses	Prohibited	Prohibited

¹ Existing uses could continue as non-conforming uses consistent with the Development Code.

	Staff Recommendation	Planning Commission Recommendation
Outdoor expansion (such as for vehicle inventory storage)	Prohibited	Prohibited
Expansion of existing structures containing vehicle sales and service uses	Prohibited	Structures can expand up to 20% over the existing floor area present on the effective date of the code.
Reconstruction of destroyed structures and occupation of those structures by vehicle sales and service uses	Prohibited	Structures can be rebuilt, up to 20% larger than the floor area present on the effective date of the code, and occupied by vehicle sales and service uses.
Minimum floor area ratio requirements apply if destroyed structures containing vehicle sales and service uses can be rebuilt	Must be met	Rebuilt structures containing vehicle sales and service uses are exempt from meeting minimum floor area ratio requirements
Structures containing vehicle sales and service uses impacted by a government action (such as a street extension)	May be reconstructed on the same parcel or abutting parcel under same ownership, but not on a lot separated by right of way	May be reconstructed on the same parcel or abutting parcel under same ownership, or a lot separated by right of way under same ownership within 100 feet of the original site

Questions for City Council

Council should consider the questions below:

- Which scenario (from attachment B) for vehicle sales and storage use is preferred?
 - The original proposal (scenario 1) as staff recommends?
 - Planning Commission recommendation (scenario 4)?
 - Another scenario?
- Should vehicle sales and service uses be exempt from FAR minimums? Planning Commission recommended this exemption, while staff recommends no exemption.

- Should vehicle sales and service uses be exempted from the active ground floor use rules? Planning Commission recommended this exemption, while staff recommends no exemption.

Vehicle Regulation Scenario Quick Reference Guide

Auto Use Code Options:		Consistent with Urban Design Framework Goals and Regional Policy Vision?	Provides requested protections for auto-related businesses?
Scenario 1	Original Proposal; prohibits new auto-oriented uses; makes existing use non-conforming; does not allow expansion of these uses; does not allow rebuilding greater than 50% of building value.	FULLY	NO
Scenario 2	Prohibits new auto-oriented uses; makes existing use non-conforming; does not allow expansion; does allow rebuilding if damaged over 50% of building value.	MOSTLY	PARTIALLY
Scenario 3	Prohibits new auto-related uses; allows 20% expansion of existing use for limited time; does allow rebuilding if damaged over 50% of building value.	SOMEWHAT	MOSTLY
Scenario 4	Prohibits new auto-related use, but recognizes existing uses as conforming conditional use; allows 20% expansion of building for existing use with no time limit; use affected by government action can rebuild within 100 feet, including across the street.	PARTIALLY	FULLY

STAFF REC

PLANNING COMMISSION REC

Physical Development Options – Building Size/Floor Area Ratio (FAR) :		Consistent with Urban Design Framework Goals and Regional Policy Vision?	Provides requested protections for auto-related businesses?
Option 1	Where structures are rebuilt for any reason, minimum FAR must be met.	FULLY	PARTIALLY
Option 2	Where structures are rebuilt, site would be exempt from minimum FAR requirement. If FAR is not met, applicant must demonstrate how the site can accommodate future development.	NO	FULLY

STAFF REC

PLANNING COMMISSION REC

Ground Floor Active Use Options:		Consistent with Urban Design Framework Goals and Regional Policy Vision?	Provides requested protections for auto-related businesses?
Option 1	Original Proposal; requires ground floor use close to street to contribute to active street; auto uses would be non-conforming.	FULLY	PARTIALLY
Option 2	Exempts pre-existing auto uses from the active ground floor use rules.	PARTIALLY	FULLY

STAFF REC

PLANNING COMMISSION REC

Government Action Removing a Building:		Consistent with Urban Design Framework Goals and Regional Policy Vision?	Provides requested protections for auto-related businesses?
Option 1	Apply current code. Reconstruction permitted on same or abutting lot.	FULLY	PARTIALLY
Option 2	Special allowance for this area: reconstruction also permitted across the street within 100 feet.	PARTIALLY	FULLY

STAFF REC

PLANNING COMMISSION REC



Community Development Department / Planning Division
12725 SW Millikan Way / PO Box 4755
Beaverton, OR 97076
General Information: 503-526-2222 V/TDD
www.BeavertonOregon.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO: Planning Commission
FROM: Steve Regner, Senior Planner
DATE: Oct. 2, 2020
SUBJECT: Downtown Design Project – Vehicle Sales and Automotive Service Options

The purpose of this memo is to summarize potential scenarios regarding the regulation of Vehicle Sales and Automotive Services within the Downtown Design District.

Following the September 23, 2020 Downtown Design Project Planning Commission Hearing, staff held multiple meetings representatives of property owners and businesses who would be directly impacted by proposed regulations regarding vehicle sales and automotive services in the Downtown Design District. Many of these representatives provided written or oral testimony at the hearing. Based on the testimony provided and the subsequent conversations the representatives staff has provided a revised set of policy scenarios for Planning Commission to consider, as well as specific code language to implement each scenario.

The testimony provided raised concerns on the proposed prohibition of Vehicle Sales and Automotive Services, specifically how it would impact existing uses within the District. Under the proposed Development Code language, existing Vehicle Sales and Automotive Services would become non-conforming uses, subject to the provisions of Chapter 30 of the Development Code. Based on testimony provided as well as the conversations following the public hearing, staff has identified the following issues of concern:

- Structures containing non-conforming uses could not be rebuilt if the building was destroyed. This restriction could have impacts to business stability and may influence the rates and availability of insurance for the properties.
- Structures containing non-conforming uses could not be expand floor area. This may restrict dealerships from complying with standards set by vehicle manufacturers.
- Clarifying how the minimum floor area requirements reconciled If a vehicle sales or automobile service can be rebuilt, if destroyed, with some size limitation, and cannot meet the minimum floor area requirements.
- How the active ground floor use regulations proposed in TA2020-0004 may affect the ability for these uses to expand or rebuilt if destroyed.

- Ability to reconstruct a building across the street if the were property under same ownership.

Several scenarios are provided below for how the new Downtown Code could address auto-related uses, and the issues identified above. Additionally, several issues identified above are addressed individually in this memo under the heading “Additional Issues”, and can be combined with multiple scenarios described in the memo

For context, the current code regulates the three relevant uses in the following ways:

Regional Center - Old Town zone

- Vehicle Sales or Lease: Allowed with a Conditional Use (C)
- Major Automotive Services: Prohibited (N)
- Minor Automotive Services: Permitted (P)

Regional Center – Transit Oriented zone

- Vehicle Sales or Lease: Allowed with a Conditional Use (C)
- Major Automotive Services: Prohibited (N)
- Minor Automotive Services: Permitted (P), except vehicle gas stations are allowed with a Conditional Use (C)

General Commercial

- Vehicle Sales or Lease: Permitted (P)
- Major Automotive Services: Allowed with a Conditional Use (C)
- Minor Automotive Services: Permitted (P)

Community Service

- Vehicle Sales or Lease: Permitted (N)
- Major Automotive Services: Allowed with a Conditional Use (C)
- Minor Automotive Services: Permitted (P)

In the proposed amendments considered at Sept. 23 Planning Commission hearing, all three uses were proposed to be Prohibited (N) in all four Downtown zones: Regional Center – Old Town, Regional Center – Beaverton Central, Regional Center – Mixed Use and Regional Center – Downtown Transition.

Scenario 1 – Proposed Downtown Code with no changes

Vehicle Sales	N
Major Auto Service	N
Minor Auto Service	N

Considerations

- Prohibits new uses entering the Downtown Design District
- Existing uses in all three categories would become non-conforming and subject to Chapter 30
- Prohibits expansion of structure and of site use
- Prohibits reconstruction in case of over 50% value damage
- Façade improvements and some interior renovations would be permitted
- Consistent with the Urban Design Framework objective of a more densely developed, active, mixed-use Downtown with less space occupied by vehicle storage.
- New development would have to meet other proposed amendments regarding site and building design, such as requiring a certain amount of street frontage to be occupied by a building, minimum floor-area ratio requirements (or residential densities), building design requirements and ground-floor active use rules.
- Existing auto-related businesses have provided testimony that the limitations could affect their ability to operate and update their businesses.
- Property and business owners have expressed that insurance could be more difficult or expensive to acquire if the structures could not be rebuilt and occupied by the uses if destroyed.

Scenario 2 – Proposed Downtown Code with provision that allows non-conforming businesses to rebuild if severely damaged

Vehicle Sales	N ¹
Major Auto Service	N
Minor Auto Service	N ¹

1. Structures associated with uses lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> that are damaged greater than 50% of the replacement value, as described in Section 30.25.1.B, may be rebuilt on-site, no larger than the floor area of the damaged structure on <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>, consistent with all applicable regulations of this ordinance and may continue to contain the lawfully established use.

Considerations

- Prohibits new uses entering the Downtown Design District
- Existing uses in all three categories would become non-conforming and subject to Chapter 30
- Prohibits expansion of structure and of site use
- Allows reconstruction in cases of over 50% value damage
- Façade improvements and some interior renovations would be permitted
- Mostly consistent with the Urban Design Framework objective of a more densely developed, active, mixed-use Downtown with less space occupied by vehicle storage, but could mean automotive uses with less development and more space occupied by vehicle storage for a longer period of time.
- New development would have to meet other proposed amendments regarding site and building design, such as requiring a certain amount of street frontage to be occupied by a building, minimum floor-area ratio requirements (or residential densities), building design requirements and ground-floor active use rules.
- Addresses property and business owner concerns that insurance could be more difficult or expensive to acquire if the structures could not be rebuilt and occupied by the uses if destroyed.
- Because expansions are not allowed, does not address testimony that the limitations will affect automotive businesses' ability to operate and update their businesses.

Scenario 3 – Proposed Downtown Code with provisions that allows limited expansions to non-conforming uses and allows non-conforming businesses to rebuild if severely damaged

Auto Sales	N ^{1 2}
Major Auto Service	N
Minor Auto Service	N ^{1 2}

1. Structures associated with uses lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> that are damaged greater than 50% of the replacement value, as described in Section 30.25.1.B, may be rebuilt on-site, no larger than 20% greater than the floor area of the damaged structure on <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>, consistent with all applicable regulations of this ordinance, and may continue to contain the lawfully established use.
2. Structures associated with uses lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> may expand the floor area to 20% greater than the size of the structure on <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>. *Optional:* Land use applications for the floor area expansion shall be received by the City no later than five years past <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>.

Considerations

- Prohibits new uses entering the Downtown Design District
- Existing uses in all three categories would become non-conforming and subject to Chapter 30
- Allows expansion of structure up to 20% over existing size
- Allows reconstruction in cases of over 50% value damage, built up to 20% larger than size prior to destruction
- Façade improvements and some interior renovations would be permitted
- Optional choice to add five-year time limit to expansion.
- Somewhat consistent with the Urban Design Framework objective of a more densely developed, active, mixed-use Downtown with less space occupied by vehicle storage. Allowing both expansions and rebuilding could mean automotive uses with less development and more space occupied by vehicle storage for a longer period of time.
- New Development would have to meet other proposed amendments regarding site and building design, such as requiring a certain amount of street frontage to be occupied by a building, minimum floor-area ratio requirements (or residential densities), building design requirements and ground-floor active use rules.
- Addresses property and business owner concerns that insurance could be more difficult or expensive to acquire if the structures could not be rebuilt and occupied by the uses if destroyed.
- Addresses business owner concerns that expansion limitations could affect automotive businesses' ability to operate and update their businesses.

Scenario 4 – Existing Uses Treated as Conditionally Permitted

Auto Sales	N C ^{1 2 3 4 5}
Major Auto Service	N C ^{1 2 3 4 5}
Minor Auto Service	N C ^{1 2 3 4 5}

1. Uses lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> shall be considered Conditionally Permitted.
2. Structures associated with uses lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> may be Conditionally Permitted to expand the floor area to 20% greater than the size of the structure lawfully constructed by <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>.
3. Structures associated with uses lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> may be Conditionally Permitted to reconstruct the building if damaged by any means to a size no larger than 20% greater than the size of the structure lawfully constructed by <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>.
4. Any structure or use lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> that would be impacted or destroyed as a result of a government action may be moved to or reconstructed on the same lot, and if necessary, may be extended to an abutting lot in the same ownership, or a lot that is a part of a site under same ownership separated only by public right of way. Said site shall be no more than 100 feet from the site of the impacted use or structure.
5. Accessory open-air sales or display may not be expanded to areas not already lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>.

Alternate Text: Footnotes 2 and 3 could be rewritten to consider aggregate floor area instead of each building. This could allow for the combination of building floor area in the case of multiple buildings needed to be rebuilt. The replacement language would be as follows:

Structures associated with uses lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> may be expanded or reconstructed to a size such that the total site floor area is up to 120% of the lawfully established floor area present on <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE>

Considerations

- Prohibits new uses entering the Downtown Design District
- Lawfully established existing uses would become Conditional Permitted
- Changes rules for many properties to make lawfully established existing Automotive Sales, Major, uses allowed with a Conditional Use (C)
- Allows expansion of structure up to 20%, with a Conditional Use Permit
- Allows reconstruction in cases of over 50% value damage, built up to 20% larger than size prior to destruction Conditional Use Permit

- Allows conditionally permitted buildings or uses impacted by government action to located or reconstructed on site or site directly across the street. See Additional Issue C starting on page 9 of this memo for more background
- Façade improvements and some interior renovations would be permitted.
- Interior renovations that generate new trips would require a Conditional Use Permit
- Prohibits the expansion of outdoor vehicle storage, even for established use
- Potentially less consistent with the Urban Design Framework objective of a more densely developed, active, mixed-use Downtown with less space occupied by vehicle storage. Allowing both expansions and rebuilding could mean automotive uses with less development and more space occupied by vehicle storage for a longer period of time. The prohibition of open air sales and display prevents additional land from being used as vehicle storage.
- New Development would have to meet other proposed amendments regarding site and building design, such as requiring a certain amount of street frontage to be occupied by a building, minimum floor-area ratio requirements (or residential densities), building design requirements and ground-floor active use rules.
- Removes non-conforming status and:
 - Addresses property and business owner concerns that insurance could be more difficult or expensive to acquire if the structures could not be rebuilt and occupied by the uses if destroyed.
 - Addresses business owner concerns that expansion limitations could affect automotive businesses' ability to operate and update their businesses.

Additional Issues

A. Minimum Floor Area

Issue: Must sites with reconstructed buildings containing Vehicle Sales and Automotive Services meet minimum FAR? *One of these options should be selected if decision-makers recommend Scenario 2, 3 or 4 above.*

OPTION 1: Where structures are rebuilt, minimum FAR must be met. Any floor area required above what is allowed for vehicle sales and service must be an unrelated, allowable use. A Phased Downtown Development Plan (DPPD) may be utilized. Depending on site size and zone, floor area in the first phase could be 66%, 75%, or 85% of minimum floor area required.

Language: In cases where a structure associated with this use is rebuilt, and is limited in total floor area by this ordinance, the minimum floor area required in Section 70.02 must be provided, and the additional floor area must not be occupied by vehicles sales or automotive services. A Phased Downtown Development Plan may be utilized if the site meets the appropriate criteria.

Considerations

- Requires sites being redeveloped with vehicle sales and/or automotive service to meeting minimum FAR.
- Consistent with how other uses with size limitations would be regulated.
- Ensures that minimum floor area requirements are met, or at least a high percentage is met if the PDDP is utilized.
- May require sites redeveloped as vehicle sales and automotive services to include other uses on-site to meet minimum required floor area.
- More consistent with the Urban Design Framework objective of a more densely developed, active, mixed-use Downtown with less space occupied by vehicle storage.

OPTION 2: Where structures are rebuilt, minimum FAR not required to be met. Where FAR is not met, applicant must demonstrate how the site can accommodate future development.

Language: In cases where a structure associated with this use is rebuilt, and is limited in total floor area by this ordinance, the minimum floor area required in Section 70.02 is not required. When the required amount of floor area is not provided the applicant shall demonstrate how future development can meet the minimum FAR. This shall be demonstrated by providing a plan and narrative addressing feasibility of constructing future phases, consistent with the applicable provisions of the Development Code within the total site area where the project is proposed, and may include abutting properties if under same ownership.

Considerations

- Exempts sites being redeveloped with vehicle sales and/or automotive service from be required to meet minimum FAR.
- Inconsistent with how other uses with size limitations would be regulated.
- Although minimum floor area would not be met, site plan would still demonstrate how future development could be accommodated in future phases to meet floor area requirements.
- Sites redeveloped as vehicle sales and automotive services could remain single use sites.
- Less consistent with the Urban Design Framework objective of a more densely developed, active, mixed-use Downtown with less space occupied by vehicle storage. Sites would be allowed to be built back at current intensity levels.

B. Active Ground Floor Rules

Issue: Active Ground Floor Use rules in TA2020-0004 would have similar implications for non-conforming use restrictions for any structures built at the front property line along certain streets. These rules are intended be no more permissive or restrictive concerning lawfully established non-conforming vehicle sales and automotive service uses than what is allowed in the overall scenarios above. *This language is recommended if decision-makers recommend Scenario 2, 3 or 4 above.*

Language: Vehicle Sales and Automotive Service uses lawfully established on-site prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> that are inconsistent with these provisions shall not be considered non-conforming, and instead shall be regulated by the provisions of the underlying zone.

C. Government Action Removing a Building

Issue: If a non-conforming structure or use is impacted or destroyed by a government action, Sections 30.20.3 and 30.25.2 provides the ability for the structure or use to be re-established on the same lot or abutting lot under same ownership. Representatives of Damerow Ford have testified that a planned extension of Millikan Way has the potential to impact or destroy an existing body shop operated by Damerow Ford.

Staff notes that uses typical of body shop generally fall under the Major Automotive Services land use category, which is currently a prohibited use in Beaverton's Development Code. Under the current Development Code, if this body shop were impacted by the Millikan Way extension, it could only be rebuilt on the existing lot, as other properties operated by Damerow Ford are separated by public right of way. Damerow Ford has indicated a preference to be able to rebuild on sites across the street from its existing location if the body shop is impacted by a road expansion. Staff reiterates that the concerns expressed by Damerow Ford on this issue are in regard to Development Code policies currently enforced by the City of Beaverton, and it not currently proposed to be modified by the language in the proposed Text Amendment. *One of these options should be selected if decision-makers recommend Scenario 1, 2 or 3 above.*

OPTION 1: Remain limited to reconstruction on same or abutting lot.

Language: No change from language in current Development Code.

Considerations

- Continues to regulate non-conforming uses and structures as described in Chapter 30.

- Limits reconstruction of use currently regulated as non-conforming to the existing or abutting site.

OPTION 2: Allows non-conforming structures or uses to be reestablished on abutting lot or lot across the street.

Language: Notwithstanding Section 30.25, any structure in the Downtown Design District associated with a vehicle sales or automotive service use lawfully established prior to <CODE EFFECTIVE DATE> that would be adversely impacted or destroyed as a result of a government agency action, may be moved or reconstructed on the same lot, and if necessary, may be extended to an abutting lot in the same ownership, or a lot that is a part of a site under same ownership separated only by public right of way. Said site shall be no more than 100 feet from the site of the impacted use or structure.

Considerations

- Creates special circumstance for non-conforming vehicle sales and automotive services in the Downtown Design District
- Provides flexibility sought by business owners to reestablish a non-conforming use on a different site if impacted by Millikan Way expansion
- Addresses an existing Development Code concern, is not responding to an issue introduced by the Downtown Design Project text amendments.